

REVIEW ARTICLE

Aquaculture and stress management: a review of probiotic intervention

S. Mohapatra^{1,2*}, T. Chakraborty^{3*}, V. Kumar⁴, G. DeBoeck⁴ and K. N. Mohanta⁵

1 Laboratory of Freshwater Fish Reproduction and Development, School of Life Science, Southwest University, China,

2 Aquaculture Division, Central Institute of Fisheries Education (CIFE), Mumbai, India,

3 Laboratory of Molecular Environmental Endocrinology, National Institute of Basic Biology (NIBB), Okazaki, Japan,

4 Laboratory for Ecophysiology, Biochemistry and Toxicology, University of Antwerp, Belgium, and

5 Fish Nutrition and Physiology Division, Central Institute of Freshwater Aquaculture (CIFA), Bhubaneswar, India

Keywords

aquaculture, fish and shellfish, immuno-haematology, oxidative stress, microbiota, probiotics

Correspondence

S. Mohapatra, Laboratory of Freshwater Fish Reproduction and Development, School of Life Science, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China. Tel: +8618 223321278; E-mail: mohapatra_sipra@rediffmail.com

*Both contributed equally to this review.

Received: 3 October 2011;
accepted: 28 February 2012.

Summary

To meet the ever-increasing demand for animal protein, aquaculture continuously requires new techniques to increase the production yield. However, with every step towards intensification of aquaculture practices, there is an increase in stress level on the animal as well as on the environment. Feeding practices in aqua farming usually plays an important role, and the addition of various additives to a balanced feed formula to achieve better growth is a common practice among the fish and shrimp culturists. Probiotics, also known as 'bio-friendly agents', such as LAB (Lactobacillus), yeasts and *Bacillus* sp., can be introduced into the culture environment to control and compete with pathogenic bacteria as well as to promote the growth of the cultured organisms. In addition, probiotics are non-pathogenic and non-toxic micro-organisms, having no undesirable side effects when administered to aquatic organisms. Probiotics are also known to play an important role in developing innate immunity among the fishes, and hence help them to fight against any pathogenic bacteria as well as against environmental stressors. The present review is a brief but informative compilation of the different essential and desirable traits of probiotics, their mode of action and their useful effects on fishes. The review also highlights the role of probiotics in helping the fishes to combat against the different physical, chemical and biological stress.

Introduction

Aquaculture is one of the fastest growing industries in the food sector. According to Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) report, the average consumption of aquaculture products relative to total *per capita* fish for human consumption rose from 14% in 1986 to 47% in 2006 and it can be expected to reach 50% in the next few years (Desriac et al., 2010). However, this rapid increase in growth has been marred by the outbreak of numerous fish diseases, mainly the bacterial disease, leading to very high stock mortality (Kurath,

2008). Prevention and control of diseases have led to a substantial increase in the use of veterinary medicines in the recent years. However, the utility of antimicrobial agents and antibiotics as a remedial measure has been questioned. These huge amounts of antibiotics have exerted a very strong selection pressure on the resistance among bacteria which have adapted to this situation, mainly by a horizontal and phylandering flow of resistance genes (SCAN 2003; Cabello, 2006; Yousefian and Amiri, 2009).

Therefore, to combat diseases and prevent the dependency mainly on antibiotics, microbial

intervention in terms of bioremediation, vaccine, immunostimulants and probiotics are the need of the day (Panigrahi and Azad, 2007). The beneficial microbes that manipulate the gut microbiota through dietary supplementation are a novel approach from both the nutritional as well as immunological aspect. The term "probiotics" was originated from the Greek words "pro" and "bios" which mean life (Parker, 1974). According to FAO, probiotics can be defined as live micro-organisms, which administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 2001). After the administration of these useful microbes into the host, they are able to colonize and multiply in the gut of the host and show numerous beneficial effects by modulating various biological systems in the host (Cross, 2002). The application of probiotics has gained special interest because of their help in promoting the indigenous microbe(s) in the intestines, and thus helps to restore the microbial balance (Cross, 2002; Morelli et al., 2003). Probiotics can be implemented at larval and early fry stages, where vaccines cannot be administered.

The purpose of this review is to summarize the probiotics (microbial strains) that have convalesced from different aquaculture species, mode of action of probiotics and their role on different fish and shellfish with respect to immune responses, metabolism, stress and ameliorating effect on oxidative stress.

Mode of action of probiotics

One of the most important properties of probiotics is to adhere and proliferate at the specific location for the maximum usefulness to the host species. Therefore, to have maximum benefit, the probiotics should reach the specified location where it is most required. Modes of actions of probiotics are listed below.

Production of inhibitory compounds

Probiotics play a major role in preventing the occurrence of diseases by producing certain inhibitory compounds that act antagonistically against the pathogenic microbes and hence, preventing their proliferation in the host bodies (Tinh et al., 2007). The anti-pathogenic activity may be due to singular or combination of production of antibiotics (Williams and Vickers, 1986), bacteriocins (Vandenbergh, 1993; Pybus et al., 1994; Panigrahi and Azad, 2007; Tinh et al., 2007), siderophores, lysozymes, prote-

ases, hydrogen peroxide and the alteration of pH values (Sugita et al., 2009). LAB inhibit the multiplication of microbes by the production of inhibitory compounds, called bacteriocins (Vandenbergh, 1993). Some bacteria also produce compounds other than bacteriocins, which are also useful in restricting the activity of the pathogens. An *Aeromonas media* strain A199 exhibited antagonistic activity against a wide range of fish/shellfish pathogens *in vitro* (Gibson et al., 1998) which was later identified as indole (s,3-benzopyrrole) (Lategan et al., 2006), having anti-bacterial and anti-fungal activity (Desriac et al., 2010).

Competitions for adhesion sites

Competition for space for adhesion and colonization on the gut and other tissue surface is another mode of action of the probiotics to fight against harmful pathogens (Ringø et al., 2007), as proper adhesion to the enteric mucus and intestinal wall surface is most important for any pathogen to cause damage to the host animal (Olsson et al., 1992; Vine et al., 2004). Adhesion of the probiotics is either non-specific, based on the physico-chemical factors or specific, based on the adhesion of the probiotics on the surface of the adherent bacteria and receptor molecules on the epithelial cells (Salminen et al., 1996). Different strategies have been put forth regarding the attachment of micro-organisms to the intestinal tract, such as, passive forces, electrostatic interactions, hydrophobic, steric forces, lipoteichoic acids, adhesins and specific structures of adhesion (Lara-Flores and Aguirre-Guzman, 2009). It has been reported that the intestinal isolates compete more effectively with *Vibrio anguillarum* for adhesion sites on the mucosal intestinal surface (Joborn et al., 1997). In this regard, Kankainen et al. (2009) suggests that intact pilus fibers with mucus-binding capacity on the cell surface of a probiotic strain of lactic acid bacteria are helpful for competing with *Escherichia coli* in the human intestine.

Modulation of host immune responses

Probiotics render protection against pathogens by overcoming the adverse consequences of antibiotics and chemotherapeutic agents. Probiotics help in achieving natural resistance and high survivability of larvae and post larvae of fishes, due to the elevated immunity of the fish. Different modes of probiotics have a different stimulatory effect on the immune system of fish, namely, effect on the immune cell,

anti-bodies, acid phosphatase, lysozyme and anti-microbial peptides (Nayak, 2010). An increase in the acid phosphatase activity was observed in *Miichtys miiuy*, when fed with *Clostridium butyricum*, hence indicating an enhancement in the immune response of the animal (Lara-Flores and Aguirre-Guzman, 2009). Rengpipat *et al.* (2000) showed that *Bacillus* sp. (strain S11) can be provided for disease protection by activating the *Penaeus monodon* immune defenses. Stimulation of immune response is increased by anti-body activity and macrophage activity as reported by Marteau and Ramboud (1993). The addition of probiotic bacteria in the diet of cod and herring larvae has led to an increased stimulation of the immune system and hence better immunity against pathogens (Olafsen, 1998).

Competition for chemicals or available energy

The basis for the existence of any microbial population depends on its ability to compete for chemicals and available energy with the other microbes residing the same ecosystem (Verschuere *et al.*, 2000a). Heterotrophs, which dominate the aquatic environment, compete for organic substrates, such as carbon and other energy sources. Rico-Mora *et al.* (1998) inoculated a bacterial strain, which had a capacity to grow actively in an organic-poor substrate, into a diatom culture and reported that it prevented the establishment of a pathogenic strain of *V. alginolyticus*. As the inoculated strain lacked any *in vitro* inhibitory effect on *V. alginolyticus*, so this prevention might be due to the bacterial strains ability to utilize the exudates of the diatoms and fight against the pathogen. In another study, omission of iron (important for many *Vibrio* pathogens, Rorvik *et al.*, 1991) from the diet of early weaned sea bass larvae helped in reducing the microbial load of the larvae without affecting its growth rate and survivability (Gatesoupe, 1997).

Competition for nutrients

Probiotics make up a part of the resident micro-flora by adhering to the mucus, gastrointestinal tract, epithelial cells and other tissues, further contributing to the health or well-being of the host (Gatesoupe, 1999; Farzanfar, 2006). The attachment ability of some bacteria has been tested *in vitro* and *in vivo* and their results suggest that the pathogen gets displaced by the potential probiotic based on the competition for essential nutrients, space, etc. (Verschuere *et al.*, 2000b). Probiotics utilize the

nutrients otherwise consumed by pathogenic microbes. The useful microbiota sometimes serves as a supplementary source of food and microbial activity in the digestive tract and also is a source of vitamins or essential amino acids. It has been seen that *Bacteroides* and *Clostridium* species contribute to the host's nutrition, especially by supplying fatty acids and vitamins (Sakata, 1990).

Improvement of water quality

Gram-positive bacteria, such as *Bacillus* spp. enhance the immune system of the animal and also act beneficially in improving the quality of the water system. *Bacillus* spp. acts more efficiently in converting organic matter into carbon dioxide in comparison to the Gram-negative bacteria, which converts a greater proportion of organic matter into bacterial biomass or slime. The introduction of *Bacillus* spp in proximity to pond aerators reduced chemical oxygen demand (Porubcan, 1991). Certain strains of probiotics have significant algicidal effect on many species of microalgae, particularly the red tide plankton (Fukami *et al.*, 1997). The major water quality problems are due to ammonia and nitrite toxicity, which can be rectified by the application of nitrifying cultures into the fish ponds (Lewis and Morris, 1986). Probiotics are supplied as beneficial bacterial strains to rearing water that helps to increase microbial species composition in the environment and hence improve the quality of water. The temperature of water, pH, dissolved oxygen, NH₃ and H₂S were found better in trials with probiotics and a daily administration of probiotics showed a possibility of maintaining healthy environment for shrimp and prawn larval in the improved green water system (Banerjee *et al.*, 2010).

Interference with quorum sensing

The term "quorum sensing" can be defined as a process of bacterial cell-to-cell communication. The disruption of quorum sensing (QS) is known to be a new anti-infective strategy in aquaculture (Defoirdt *et al.*, 2004). Defoirdt *et al.* (2005) reported that AI-2 (autoinducer-2) mediated system is responsible for the virulence of *V. harveyi* toward gnotobiotic *Artemia franciscana* (Defoirdt *et al.*, 2005), while both HAI-1 (Harveyi autoinducer-1) and AI-2-mediated systems are involved in the growth-retarding effect of this bacterium toward gnotobiotic *Brachionus plicatilis* (Tinh *et al.*, 2007). This might suggest the existence of host dependent QS in *V. harveyi*. It has

been found that the marine red algae, *Delisea pulchra*, act as a very good QS antagonist (Manefield et al., 1999; Tinh et al., 2007). These compounds, protected *Brachionus*, *Artemia*, and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from the negative effects of pathogenic *Vibrio* sp., when added at adequate concentrations (Rasch et al., 2004; Defoirdt et al., 2006; Tinh et al., 2007). However, usage of the probiotic bacteria, which can act as QS-disrupting agents in aquaculture systems, needs to be ascertained. Therefore, the determination of the concentration of QS molecules *in vivo* would provide a better knowledge about the importance of QS *in vivo*, and also help to clarify the mechanism of action of the QS-disrupting bacteria.

Antibacterial activity

Bacterial antagonism is a common phenomenon in nature; therefore, microbial interactions play a major role in the equilibrium between competing beneficial and potentially pathogenic micro-organisms (Balcázar et al., 2004). It was demonstrated that feeding of rotifers with food additives containing live lactic acid bacteria or *Bacillus* spores decreased the amount of pathogenic *Vibrio* species in the rotifers. The administration of *C. butyricum* bacteria to rainbow trout enhanced the resistance of the fish to vibriosis (Sakai et al., 1995). It has been reported that *Lactobacillus* displays a higher antagonistic effect against *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* (Oyetao, 2004). *B. subtilis* was also seen to have significantly lowered the count of motile Aeromonads, presumptive Pseudomonads and total Coliforms in live bearing ornamental fishes (Ghosh et al., 2008). *B. pumilus*, *Bacillus firmus* and *C. freundii* showed inhibitory effect against *A. hydrophila* in *O. niloticus* (Aly et al., 2008a).

Antiviral activity

Though vaccination being an age old practice to control viral diseases, its success rate is highly variable and the duration of immunity against the virus is questionable (McLoughlin and Graham, 2007). Some probiotics have antiviral effects, although the exact mechanism is not yet known. Strains of *Pseudomonas* sp., *Vibrio* sp., *Aeromonas* sp. and groups of *Coryneforms* showed antiviral activity against infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) (Kamei et al., 1988). Moreover, feed supplementation with a *B. megenterium* strain has resulted in increased resistance to white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) in shrimp *Li-*

topenaeus vannamei (Li et al., 2009). Probiotics, like *Bacillus* and *Vibrio* sp., positively influenced the protective effect against WSSV (Balcázar, 2003).

Antifungal activity

Aeromonas media (strain A199) isolated from fresh water, in culture of eels (*Anguilla australis* Richardson), presented antagonistic activity against *Saprolegnia* sp. It has also been reported that *Aeromonas media* strain A199 protects the fish against Saprolegniosis (Lategan et al., 2004b).

Role of probiotics on different aquaculture organisms

In many countries the aquaculture has become an important source of income. Therefore, on large-scale production facilities, where fish and shellfish are open to stressful conditions, problems related to diseases and worsening of environmental circumstances often occur and result in staid economic damages. Since decade, the prevention and control of disease in aquatic environment led to the use of different kind of drugs. Nevertheless, the efficacy of antimicrobial agents as a preventive measure has been examined, given the extensive documentation of the advancement of antimicrobial resistance among pathogenic bacteria. The use of probiotics or beneficial bacteria, which control pathogens through a variety of mechanisms, is increasingly viewed as an alternative to antibiotic treatment and recently the demand of probiotics has increased heavily in aqua industry for eco-friendly and sustainable aquaculture.

However, careful attention should be given while dealing with larval culture because of their labile-ness and underdeveloped immunity. Larval endogenous microbial population depends on both egg and environmental microbial composition (Ringo et al., 1997). The larval digestive tract is immature during hatching, the gall bladder has yet to develop and subsequently bile is not secreted until later on during development (Ringo et al., 1997). Hence, the probiotics are not required to move through an acidic environment en route to the gut and, unlike a probiont designed for adults, it does not need to be resistant to acid and bile. To maximize the competitive advantage of probiotics, early delivery especially before first feeding increases the chances of producing persistent population (Ringo et al., 1997).

Impact on fish

Fish is one of the richest sources of animal protein and is the fastest food-producing sector in the world. The fish production is maximized through intensification with addition of commercial diets, growth promoters, antibiotics, and several other additives. Therefore, to overcome these problems in a healthy way, probiotics are used. The beneficial effects of probiotics in larval rearing have been demonstrated in fishes by several investigators (Alami-Durante *et al.*, 1991). A relatively dense non-pathogenic and diverse adherent microbiota is reported to be present on the fish egg, which probably acts as an effective barrier against colony formation by pathogen on the egg (Olafsen, 1998). Survivability and resistance to pathogens were also increased significantly after probiotic supplementation during larval life (Gatesoupe, 1997). Swain *et al.* (1994) reported significantly higher growth and nutrient utilization in catla juveniles through probiotic supplemented diet. However, no improvement in growth was noticed in flounder and Tilapia, when they were fed with *Lactobacillus*, isolated from salmon intestine, as a feed additive (Gildberg *et al.*, 1995). Many probiotics (micro-organisms) are recovered from different aquaculture species are summarized in Table 1.

Impact on crustaceans

Worldwide, people obtain approximately 25% of their animal protein from fish and shellfish (Naylor *et al.*, 2001). Hence, crustaceans have a huge role to play in the international food market. Probiotics have also found a way into the crustaceans' aquaculture system. Nogami and Maeda (1992) isolated a bacterial strain that was found to improve the growth of crab (*Portunus trituberculatus* M) and suppress the growth of pathogenic *Vibrio* sp. They also suggested that the bacterium might improve the physiological rate of crab larvae by serving as nutrient source during its growth. Moriarty (1998) also reported that several *Bacillus* strains used as probiotics in the culture ponds of penaeid shrimps completed the growth period in 160 days without any problem, compared to almost failure of culture ponds where no *Bacillus* was used. Gatesoupe (1989) reported that LAB showed an improvement in the dietary value of rotifers. Uma (1995) also reported enhanced growth rate and survival of *Penaeus indicus* through the addition of *L. plantarum* in the water. *Bacillus* S11 when incorporated into the diet of *Penaeus monodon*, showed mean weight increase and survival of larvae and post larvae, decreased mortality after being challenged with the pathogen *V. harveyi*

Table 1 Probiotics recovered from different aquaculture species

Micro-organism	Isolated from	Reference
<i>Vibrio alginolyticus</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Irianto and Austin, 2002b
<i>Vibrio fluvialis</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Irianto and Austin, 2002b
<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Irianto and Austin, 2002b
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i> , <i>Catla catla</i> , <i>Labeo rohita</i> , <i>Cirrhinus mrigala</i>	Newaj-Fyzul <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Nayak and Mukherjee, 2011;
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Merrifield <i>et al.</i> , 2009
<i>C. inihbens</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>S. salar</i>	Irianto and Austin, 2002b; Gildberg <i>et al.</i> , 1995
<i>Carnobacterium</i> spp.	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Irianto and Austin, 2002b
<i>Carnobacterium divergens</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>S. salar</i>	Kim and Austin, 2006b
<i>Carnobacterium maltaromaticum</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Kim and Austin, 2006b
<i>P. acidilactici</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Aubin <i>et al.</i> , 2005
<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Wache' <i>et al.</i> , 2006
<i>Leu. Mesenteroides</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>S. trutta</i>	Balcázar <i>et al.</i> , 2007b; Vendrell <i>et al.</i> , 2008
<i>La. Lactis</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>S. trutta</i>	Balcázar <i>et al.</i> , 2007b
<i>L. sakei</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>S. trutta</i>	Balcázar <i>et al.</i> , 2007b
<i>L. planatarum</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Vendrell <i>et al.</i> , 2008
<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Nikoskelainen <i>et al.</i> , 2003
<i>E. facium</i>	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Merrifield <i>et al.</i> , 2009
<i>Bacillus circulans</i> PB7	<i>Catla catla</i>	Bandyopadhyay and Das Mohapatra, 2009
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp	<i>Catla catla</i> , <i>L. rohita</i> , <i>C. mrigala</i>	Nayak and Mukherjee, 2011
<i>Corynebacterium</i> spp.	<i>Catla catla</i> , <i>L. rohita</i> , <i>C. mrigala</i>	Nayak and Mukherjee, 2011
<i>Micrococcus</i> spp.	<i>Catla catla</i> , <i>L. rohita</i> , <i>C. mrigala</i>	Nayak and Mukherjee, 2011
<i>Plesiomonas</i> spp.	<i>Catla catla</i> , <i>L. rohita</i> , <i>C. mrigala</i>	Nayak and Mukherjee, 2011

D331 (Rengpipat et al., 1998). Harzevili et al. (1998) used *Lactococcus lactis* AR21, which stimulated the growth of rotifers and inhibited the growth of *V. anguillarum*. Hirata et al. (1998) used mixed cultures consisting mainly of *Bacillus* species to improve performance of rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis* in water.

Giant fresh water prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*, zoea larvae had a higher survival and a faster rate of metamorphosis when fed with *B. subtilis* enriched *Artemia* naupli compared to zoea larvae fed unenriched *Artemia* (Keysami et al., 2007). Similarly, Liu et al. (2010) reported that shrimp (*L. vannamei*) larval development, metamorphosis, immuno-stimulation and stress response was significantly accelerated after the addition of the probiotic (*B. subtilis* E20) to the larval rearing water at a level of 10^9 cfu/L. In addition, the use of *B. subtilis* E20 in shrimp larval culture may have delayed the primary proliferation of *Vibrio* spp. In crustaceans, the PPO system plays an important role in the defense reaction (Soderhall and Cerenius, 1998) through the conversion of prophenol oxidase (PPO) to PO by an serine Protease (SP) named ppAE. Increases of phenoloxidase (PO) activity and phagocytic activity of shrimp after being treated with *B. subtilis* E 20 were documented by Liu et al. (2010) which signifies the increase of phagocytic activity and further clearance activity (Liu et al., 2009; Tseng et al., 2009).

Impact on bivalves

Bivalve larvae, which are filter feeders, need a constant flow of seawater through their organisms, which makes it difficult for the establishment of a bacterial population in the digestive tract. Hence, it is advisable to control the microbiota present in the environment, to maintain a beneficial balance for the larval development and survival, and preventing the proliferation of opportunistic pathogens. The presence of a strain, sharing the same ecological niche with pathogens and with the ability to inhibit them, allows a control of the microbiological quality of seawater without any allochthonous species. It has been assayed in the effect of strain *Phaeobacter* PP-154, diffusible-pigment producer, in the settlement of *O. edulis* larvae and also observed similar effect. Therefore, the induction of these processes and the enhancement of survival and settlement mediated by bacteria may be considered as a mode of probiosis, with special relevance in bivalve larval cultures.

The nutritional aspect of molluscan larvae has gained a lot of importance in the recent years. Douillet and Langdon (1994) observed enhanced growth

of *Crassostrea gigas* larvae when supplemented with bacterial strain CA2 as a feed supplement. A bacterial strain identified as *Aeromonas haloplanctis*, obtained from the gonads of Chilean scallop (*Argopecten purpuratus*) showed *in vitro* inhibiting activity against many pathogenic bacteria like *Vibrio ordalii*, *V. parahaemolyticus*, *V. anguillarum* and *Aeromonas hydrophila* (Riquelme et al., 1996).

Effect of probiotics on fish metabolism

Effect of probiotics mainly depends on several factors i.e. the probiont, supplementation form, vector of administration, dosage level and duration of application (Mohapatra et al., 2012). Most commonly, in aquaculture related studies, live-cultures are sprayed or top-dressed onto basal diets (Balcázar et al., 2007a; b; Vendrell et al., 2008; Merrifield et al., 2010a,b,c, 2011) but freeze-dried/lyophilised cells (Panigrahi et al., 2007; Merrifield et al., 2010c), dead cells (Irianto and Austin, 2003; Newaj-Fyzul et al., 2007), disrupted cells (Brunt and Austin, 2005; Newaj-Fyzul et al., 2007), cell-free supernatants (e.g. Brunt and Austin, 2005; Newaj-Fyzul et al., 2007) and spores (Raida et al., 2003; Bagheri et al., 2008) have all showed some degree of success (Table 2).

Effect on growth

The use of probiotics for enhancing bio-growth parameters and in improving disease resistance ability has been well documented in aquaculture for human consumption (Robertson et al., 2000). Bjornsdottir et al. (2010) demonstrated an improved survival and growth of halibut larvae as a result of live prey treatment using selected autochthonous bacteria. Indeed numerous studies have shown that the application of probiotics can improve feed conversion, growth rates and weight gain of fish including salmonids (Taoka et al., 2006; Bagheri et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008b). The addition of probiotics in the diet of common carp lead to enhanced feed utilization and hence better feed conversion ratio (FCR). Bagheri et al. (2008) demonstrated that the application of *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* could significantly improve the FCR, specific growth rate (SGR), weight gain and protein efficiency ratio (PER) after 2 months feeding on diets containing $3.8 \times 10^9 \sim 10^9$ CFU/g in the rainbow trout fry.

Improvement in growth of *Penaeus vannamei* larvae and reduction in the incidence and severity of diseases was observed on the widespread use of the probiotic, *Vibrio alginolyticus* when applied to the lar-

Table 2 Different parameters investigated using probiotics in aquacultural species

Probiotic	Parameters studied	Species tested	Reference
<i>L. lactis</i>	DR, IR, GM	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> , <i>Salmo trutta</i>	Balcázar et al., 2007b
<i>Leu. mesenteroids</i>	DR, IR, GM, GP	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>S. trutta</i>	Balcázar et al., 2007b; Vendrell et al., 2008
<i>L. sakei</i>	DR, IR, GM	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>S. trutta</i>	Balcázar et al., 2007b
<i>L. plantarum</i>	DR, GM, GP	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Vendrell et al., 2008
<i>L. rhamnosus</i>	DR, GP, GM, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Nikoskelainen et al., 2001, 2003; Panigrahi et al., 2007
<i>E. faecalis</i>	BC, GP, IR, DR	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>Lithobates catesbeianus</i>	Newaj-Fyzul et al., 2007
<i>B. subtilis</i> + <i>B. licheniformis</i>	BC, DR, GM, GH, GP, FU, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Bagheri et al., 2008
<i>B. subtilis</i>	DR, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>L. catesbeianus</i>	Merrifield et al., 2009
<i>E. faecium</i>	BC, FU, GM, GP, GH, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Merrifield et al., 2009
<i>P. acidilactici</i>	BC, FU, GM, GP, SM, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Merrifield et al., 2009; Quentel et al., 2004
<i>A. sobria</i>	DR, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Brunt and Austin, 2005; Pieters et al., 2008
<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	BC, BE, FU, GM, GP, SM, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Wache' et al., 2006
<i>C. divergens</i>	DR, IR, GH, GM, GP	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>Salmo salar</i>	Kim and Austin, 2006a; b; Gildberg et al., 1995
<i>C. maltaromaticum</i>	IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Kim and Austin, 2006a; b
<i>C. inihbens</i>	DR, IR, GM	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>S. salar</i>	Robertson et al., 2000
<i>Brachothrix thermosphacta</i>	DR, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Pieters et al., 2008
<i>A. hydrophila</i>	DR, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Irianto and Austin, 2002b, 2003
<i>V. fulvialis</i>	DR, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Irianto and Austin, 2002b, 2003
<i>Carnobacterium</i> spp	DR, IR, GM	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Irianto and Austin, 2002b, 2003
<i>V. alginolyticus</i>	DR, IR, GM	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>S. salar</i>	Austin et al., 1995; Irianto and Austin, 2002b
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	DR	<i>O. mykiss</i> , <i>S. salar</i>	Spanggaard et al., 2001; Gram et al., 2001
<i>D. hansenii</i>	GM	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Andlid et al., 1995
<i>R. glutinis</i>	GM	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Andlid et al., 1995
Kocuria SM1	DR, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Sharifuzzaman and Austin, 2010b
Enterobactor	DR, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Capkin and Altinok, 2009
<i>B. mojavensis</i>	DR, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Capkin and Altinok, 2009
<i>L. delbrueckii</i>	GH, DR, GM	<i>S. salar</i>	Salinas et al., 2008
<i>Bifidobacterium bifidum</i>	IR	<i>L. catesbeianus</i>	De Carla Dias et al., 2010
<i>Zooshikella</i> sp.	IR	<i>Paralichthys olivaceus</i>	Kim et al., 2010
<i>V. anguillarum</i>	DR, IR	<i>O. mykiss</i>	Sharifuzzaman and Austin, 2010a
Pdp11	GP, IR	<i>Sparus auratus</i>	Varela et al., 2010

Genera abbreviations: A., Aeromonas; B., Bacillus; C., Carnobacterium; D., Debaryomyces; E., Enterococcus; L., Lactobacillus; La., Lactococcus; Leu., Leuconostoc; P., Pediococcus; Ps., Pseudomonas; R., Rhodotorula; S., Saccharomyces; V., Vibrio.

Parameters investigated: BC, body composition; BE, brush border enzymes; DR, disease resistance; FU, feed olonizatio; GH, gut histology; GM, gut microbiota (inclusive of probiont olonization) ; GP, growth performance; IR, immunological/haematological response; SM, skeletal malformation.

val rearing tanks (Garriques and Arevalo, 1995). Uma et al. (1999) observed a significant improvement in FCR, FER (Food Efficiency Ratio) and PER of shrimp larvae when fed with *L. plantarum* bio-encapsulated *Artemia*. Similar observations were made by Suralikar and Sahu (2001) when probiotic *L. cremoris* at 8.5×10^{11} cfu/g diet was fed to post larvae of *M. rosenbergii*.

Effect on gut micro-flora

The gut is the major organ, where probiotics establish and execute their functions. Therefore, the discussion between probiotics and gut environment warrants high consideration. The continual applica-

tion of bacterial cells (LAB, *Bacillus* spp. and certain Gram-negative spp.) to salmonids may lead to high levels of colonization and modulated gastrointestinal (GI) microbial populations (Irianto and Austin, 2002b; Kim and Austin, 2006a; Balcázar et al., 2007a,; b; Bagheri et al., 2008; Merrifield et al., 2010a,b,c, 2011). Several reports suggest that most of probiotics exert their effect through colonization in host and excretion of several growth-enhancing nutrients (Bagheri et al., 2008). Mohapatra et al. (2012) observed significant reduction in the total heterotrophic bacteria and higher colonization of the useful microbes in the digestive tract in the multi-species probiotic supplemented fed *Labeo rohita*, resulting in better growth and immunity.

Effect on digestive enzymes

It is reported that the digestive organs are very sensitive to food composition and cause immediate changes in activities of the digestive enzymes (Bolasina et al., 2006; Shan et al., 2008), which is finally reflected in fish health and growth. The enzymes liberated by probiotics helps in increasing the digestive utilization of feed or detoxifying injurious metabolites liberated by the harmful micro-flora. The alteration of microbial metabolism is however affected either by increased or decreased enzymatic activity. Amylase and lipase are the major enzymes related to carbohydrate and fat digestion, respectively. Tovar et al. (2002) reported an increase in amylase and trypsin secretion in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae after being fed with live yeast *Debaryomyces hansenii*. Moreover, Mohapatra et al. (2012) noted elevated level of digestive enzyme (protease, amylase and lipase) activities in *Labeo rohita* when fed with a mixture of *Bacillus subtilis*, *Lactococcus lactis* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Bacteria also secrete proteases to digest the peptide bonds in proteins and therefore break down the proteins into their constituent monomers and free amino acids, which can benefit the nutritional status of the animal. Higher alkaline phosphatase activity was observed in probiotic fed Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), thereby reflecting a possible development of brush border membrane of enterocytes, and hence, indicating that the carbohydrate and lipid absorption has been enhanced due to probiotic supplementation (Lara-Flores and Aguirre-Guzman, 2009). The *Bacillus* sp. isolated from *Cyprinus carpio* has considerable extracellular amylolytic, cellulolytic, proteolytic and lipolytic activities (Bairagi et al., 2002). Probiotics also play a very positive effect on the digestive processes as well as the assimilation of food components (Irianto and Austin, 2002b). This increase in the nutrient digestibility maybe because of better availability of exoenzymes produced by probiotics (Vine et al., 2006) or better health condition (Mohapatra et al., 2012).

Effect on metabolic enzymes

Bacteria, particularly members of the genus *Bacillus*, secrete a wide range of exo-enzymes (Moriarty, 1998). The exogenous enzymes produced by the probiotics represent only a small contribution to the total enzyme activity of the gut (Ziaei-Nejad et al., 2006), and the presence of the probiotics might stimulate the production of endogenous enzymes by the shrimp. The dietary yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

var. *boulardii*) in reared trout showed higher activity of three enzymes in the brush border membrane of the enterocytes: alkaline phosphatase (ALP), g-glutamyl-transpeptidase (GGT) and leucine-amino-peptidase N (LAP) (Wache' et al., 2006). Decreased activity of the enzymes, like aspartate amino transferase (AST), alanine amino transferase (ALT) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) was observed in *Oreochromis niloticus* after being fed with a diet containing *Pseudomonas* spp. and a mixture of *Micrococcus luteus* and *Pseudomonas* spp. Similar results were also observed in *Cyprinus carpio* which was fed with the extract of *Cyanobacteria* (Palikova et al., 2004). Yeasts are well known in animal nutrition because they can act as a producer of polyamines, which enhance intestinal maturation (Peulen et al., 2000).

Effect on haematological parameters

Haematological parameters of fish are used as indicators of their physiological state and their study has become widespread in the control of pathologies and manipulation of stress in fish farming. It is reported that the percentage volume of erythrocytes and the total and differential leucocyte count in the blood provides a clue about the health status of the fish (Sampath et al., 1998). Irianto and Austin (2002a) revealed that the feeding of Gram-positive and Gram-negative probiotic bacteria at 10^7 cells/g of feed led to a notably increase in the number of erythrocyte within two weeks of feeding trial. Apart from this, the increased white blood cell (WBC) count helps in the non-specific immunity via neutrophils and macrophages. According to Oboh and Akindahunsi (2005), the WBC content of the *S. cerevisiae* fermented cassava flour diet was significantly lower than that of the control. This low WBC count might be attributed as an added advantage to the use of micro-fungi fermentation, since some of these fungi are capable of secreting antimicrobial substances that would restrict the growth of any contaminated organism. Higher counts (%) of phagocytic cells (neutrophils and monocytes) and lymphocytes are also indicative of infection in fish. Probiotics interact with the immune cells such as mononuclear phagocytic cells (monocytes and macrophages) and polymorphonuclear leucocytes (neutrophils) and NK cells to enhance innate immune responses (Irianto and Austin, 2002a; Nikoskelainen et al., 2003; Kumar et al., 2008). Probiotics also actively stimulate the proliferation of lymphocytes (both B and T cells) and further immunoglobulin production in fish (Al-Dohail et al., 2009; Picchietti et al., 2009).

Effect on immunological parameters

Among the numerous beneficial effects of probiotics, modulation of immune system is one of the most common benefits of the probiotics (Table 3). The immune system of teleost fish appears to be an efficient means by which the host protects itself upon pathogenic challenge. The inter-relationship between gut mucosal epithelial cells, mucus, anti-microbial products, commensal organisms resident in the gut and immune cells in the mucosa/sub-mucosa are vital for the health and well-being of the fish. Endogenous commensal microbiota plays an important role in tolerance induction vs. immune activation decisions. It has been reported that bacterial compounds act as immunostimulants in fish and shrimp (Sakai, 1999). He also reported that the presence of probiotics, *Bacillus coagulans* B16 and *R. palustris* G06, were able to increase immune responses such as myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity, respiratory burst activities, superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity and Catalase activity of tilapia. It has been shown that injection of β -glucan induced significantly elevated lysozyme activity (Misra *et al.*, 2006). Salinas *et al.* (2006) reported that respiratory burst activity of teleost fish (*Sparus aurata* L.) increased *in vitro* by the addition of heat-inactivated *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *lactis*. Similar result was also observed by Nikoskelainen *et al.* (2003), who reported that rainbow trout fed *L. rhamnosus* (8×10^4 cfu/g) for 2 weeks showed a significant increase in respiratory burst activity compared with the control group. In another study, *A. salmonicida* and *Y. ruckeri* was seen to increase the phagocytic activity, respiratory burst as well as serum and gut mucosal lysozyme activity (Kim and Austin, 2006a). In a separate study by Panigrahi *et al.* (2007), Rainbow trout fed with three freeze-dried probionts (*Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, *Enterococcus faecium* and *Bacillus subtilis*) displayed enhanced superoxide anion production, serum alternative complement activity and elevated IL-1 β , TNF and TGF β expression in spleen and head kidney. Such results are again suggestive of augmentation of innate immunity and possibly regulatory mechanisms behind mucosal tolerance (Kim and Austin, 2006b; Newaj-Fyzul *et al.*, 2007; Merrifield *et al.*, 2010a,b). Probiotics are responsible for the enhancement of the natural complement activity of the fish (Panigrahi *et al.*, 2007; Salinas *et al.*, 2008). An increased complement activity was recorded on *O. mykiss* from fourth week of feeding heat-inactivated probiotics (Pdp 11 or 51M6) (Choi and Yoon, 2008).

With respect to probiotic effects on the adaptive immune system, Arijó *et al.* (2008) demonstrated that the administration of live probiotic strains resulted in the expression of cross-reactive antibodies which were specific for outer membrane proteins and extracellular products of bacterial pathogens, conferring a protective effect upon challenge with *Vibrio harveyi*. Fermentation products such as the short-chain fatty acid, butyrate, both modulate barrier function and regulate inflammatory processes, by decreasing epithelial permeability through up-regulation of tight junction proteins and suppression of pro-inflammatory cytokines by induction of expression of anti-inflammatory, regulatory cytokines, respectively (Van Nuenen *et al.*, 2005). These short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) are effectively acting as adopted regulators of both innate and adaptive immune mechanisms.

Effect on immunoglobulin production

Probiotics have very profound impact on the specific and innate immune system of fish (Nikoskelainen *et al.*, 2003). Staykov (2004) found higher levels of bactericidal activity, lysozyme, antibody levels and alternative complement pathway activity in rainbow trout and common carp fed mannan oligosaccharides (MOS). Therefore, MOS could activate and facilitate antigen processing and serve to stimulate the initial stages of the immune response (Moran, 2004). The oral administration of heat-inactivated *L. delbrueckii* ssp. *lactis* and *B. subtilis*, individually or combined, increased the total serum IgM and numbers of gut IgM super (+) cells and acidophilic granulocytes on gilthead sea bream (Salinas *et al.*, 2008).

Histological changes

Histological methods remain the primary tools for the evaluation of pathological changes in tissues in toxicological studies and are getting considerable attention while conducting sub-lethal exposure of different toxicant in aquatic organisms. The histological analysis of cells and tissues provides essential information on the pathological changes occurring in a variety of organelles, which can be related to both biochemical changes at cellular level and to tissue pathology.

Light and electron microscopy demonstrated that pathogen-induced damage to the Atlantic salmon foregut could not be prevented or reversed, but could be marginally reduced in some cases. Merrifield and colleagues demonstrated in a preliminary

Table 3 Effect of different probiotics supplement on various immune responses in fish

Probiotics	Forms of probiotics	Mode of supplementation	Immunological effect	References
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>	Viable	Individual and combination	Increased RB, SBA, NA, lysozyme	Aly et al., 2008b
<i>Lactobacillus sakei</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased RB, IG, CA, PA, and decreased lysozyme	Balcázar et al., 2006, 2007a; b
<i>Lactococcus lectis</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased RB, IG, PA, lysozyme, CA	Balcázar et al., 2006, 2007a; b
<i>Lenconostoc mesenteroides</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased RB, IG, PA, lysozyme, CA	Balcázar et al., 2006, 2007a; b Balcázar et al., 2009
<i>Aeromonas sorbia</i>	Viable	individual	Increased RB, PA, leucocytes and decreased serum lysozyme and AP activity.	Brunt and Austin, 2005; Brunt et al., 2007
Pdp11,51M6	Heat killed	Individual and combination	Increased PA, RB	Choi and Yoon, 2008
<i>Shewanella putrefaciens</i> , <i>S. baltica</i>	Inactivated	Individual and combination	Increased PA, CA, AP, RB	Diaz-Rosales et al., 2006a
<i>Shewanella putrefaciens</i> , <i>S. baltica</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased RB	Diaz-Rosales et al., 2006b, 2009
<i>Vibrio fluvialis</i> , <i>Micrococcus luteus</i> , <i>Aeromonas hydrophilla</i>	Viable	Individual and combination	Increased bloodlets and lysozyme activity	Diaz-Rosales et al., 2009
Gram-positive coccus	Viable	Individual	Increased bloodlets, lysozyme activity and PB	Irianto and Austin, 2002b
<i>Vibrio fulvialis</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased bloodlets, lysozyme activity and PB	Irianto and Austin, 2002b
<i>A. hydrophilla</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased bloodlets, lysozyme activity and PB	Irianto and Austin, 2003
<i>Carnobacterium maltaromaticum</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased RB, lysozyme, serum mucus, PB	Kim and Austin, 2006a; b
<i>Carnobacterium divergens</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased RB, lysozyme, serum mucus, PB	Kim and Austin, 2006a; b
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased RB, SBA, IG, PB, AP, CA, lysozyme, gut mucus	Kumar et al., 2006; Newaj-Fyzul et al., 2007
<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	Viable	Individual	IG, RB, CA, PA	Nikoskelainen et al., 2003
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Viable	Individual	PA, RB, CA, Myeloperoxidase	Ortuño et al., 2002
<i>Clostridium butyricum</i>	Viable and inactivated	Individual	Increased Lysozyme, PA, CA, IG, RB	Pan et al., 2008
<i>Lactobacillus Rhamnosus</i> , <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	Viable (freeze dired)	Individual	Tissue and strain dependent modulation	Panigrahi et al., 2007
<i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased IG and related genes	Picchietti et al., 2009
<i>Aeromonas sorbia</i> ,	Viable	Individual	Increased RB, CA, PA, lysozyme, bloodlets, IG	Pieters et al., 2008
<i>Brochothrix thermosphacta</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased PA and decreased CA, RB, Lysozyme, IG	Pirarat et al., 2006
<i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. lactis</i> , <i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Viable	Individual and combination	Increased PA, Cytotoxic activity	Salinas et al., 2005
<i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i> , <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , Pdp11, 51M6	Inactivated	individual	Increased RB, cytotoxic activity	Salinas et al., 2006
<i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i> , <i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Heat killed	Individual/ Combination	Increased RB, AP, PA, CA, IG depending on mixing of probiont	Salinas et al., 2008
<i>Kocuria spp.</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased PA, AP, RB, Lysozyme	Sharifuzzaman and Austin, 2010b
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	Viable	Individual	Lysozyme, PA, AP, CA	Son et al., 2009
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> , <i>Clostridium butyrium</i> , <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Viable	Combination	Increased neutrophil migration, Lysoyme, RB, bacteriocidal activity	Song et al., 2006

Table 3 Continued

Probiotics	Forms of probiotics	Mode of supplementation	Immunological effect	References
<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> , <i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i>	Viable, inactivated	Individual	Increased PA, nitric oxide	Taoka et al., 2006
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	Viable	Individual	Increase CA, RB, MPO and lysozyme	Wang et al., 2008a
<i>Bacillus coagulans</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>Rhodospseudomonas palustris</i>	Viable	Individual	Increased RB, SOD, Catalase, MPO	Zhou et al., 2009
<i>Zooshikella</i> spp.	Viable	Individual	IG, disease resistance	Kim et al., 2010

RB, respiratory burst activity; IG, immunoglobulin; SBA, serum bacteriocidal activity; NA, neutrophil adherence; CA, complement activity; PA, phagocytic activity; AP, antiperoxidase; MPO, myeloperoxidase.

study that dietary applications of *P. acidilactici* could significantly improve microvilli length of the rainbow trout proximal intestine as compared to the control group (Merrifield et al., 2011). Rodriguez-Estrada et al. (2009) in their experiment showed that the enterocytes of fish receiving the probiotic supplemented diets showed a normal appearance, with a reduced number of lipid vacuoles. It is presumed that functionality of such cells should be better than that of the control group and this could contribute to the higher growth observed in such fish. The result of the histo-pathological examination of the kidney, heart, spleen and liver of the rats fed diet containing *S. cerevisiae* fermented cassava flour revealed that the diet did not cause any damage to the kidney and heart. However, it caused darkish red colouration on the spleen while the liver had some necrotic lesion, which is an indication of possible damage to the spleen and liver (Oboh and Akindahunsi, 2005).

Probiotic response to stress

Pathogenic infection

Aeromonas hydrophila is the normal constituent of the gut micro-flora of fish (Kumar et al., 2006) that is present in freshwater, aquatic plants and fish, which exhibit haemotoxic responses to the mucus of freshwater fish. *Aeromonas hydrophila* has also been reported to cause mass mortalities in several species including carps, snake head, gouramies and catfishes and is considered as an etiological agent of more than a few diseases including emaciation, haemorrhagic septicaemia, asymptomatic septicaemia, ulcerative infection tail rot and fin rot (Rahman et al., 2001). In a study conducted by Irianto et al. (2003), it was shown that formalin-inactivated cells of *Aeromonas hydrophila* A3-51 when applied as a feed additive, shows beneficial effect in controlling infection by atypical *A. salmonicida* in gold fish. The adminis-

tration of normal trout feed supplemented with spores of *Bacillus subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* (Bio-Plus2B) is one of the several methods to improve resistance in fish against infection with *Yersinia ruckeri* (Raida et al., 2003). Recently, Kim et al. (2010) showed that adding *Zooshikella* strain JE-34, a bacterium from marine sediment, may help to control streptococcus inane infections and improve the innate immune system in olive flounder. These studies indicate that the indigenous/natural micro-organisms have much potential because of higher probability of competitive exclusion due to adaptation to same ecological niche (Lalloo et al., 2010). Survivability of the infected fishes also increased after probiotic supplementation. This is in accordance with the findings of Kumar et al. (2006), who also obtained higher survivability, in probiotic fed (*B. subtilis*) rohu after *A. hydrophila* infection. A summary of different probiotics tested against several fish specific pathogens are given in Table 4.

Oxidative stress

Apart from pathogen pressure, aquatic animals are also subjected to temperature and other environmental perturbations that can severely affect their physiological state (Wabete et al., 2008). Studies include elucidation of the presence of a wide range of contaminants (xenobiotics) (Ferreira et al., 2005), UV-radiation, hypoxia and hyperoxia (Zenteno-Savín et al., 2006), and other environmental physico-chemical parameters (Lesser, 2006) being linked to changes to physiological states of shrimps. All these factors are responsible for the oxidative stress in the animal. Vijayavel and Balasubramanian (2009) reported significant inhibition of antioxidant enzymes like SOD and catalase upon fenvalerate exposure to brackish water prawn, *Penaeus monodon*. Induction of stress by environmental temperature variation determines whether an organism adapts to

Table 4 Summary of fish specific probiotic-pathogen interaction in aquaculture

Animal tested	Probiotic used	Pathogen tested	References
<i>Gadus morhua</i> (Atlantic cod)	<i>Carnobacterium divergens</i>	<i>V. anguillarum</i>	Gildberg et al., 1997
<i>Salmo salar</i> (Atlantic salmon)	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	<i>A. salmonicida</i>	Gildberg et al., 1995
<i>Salmo salar</i> (Atlantic salmon)	<i>Carnobacterium</i> spp.	<i>V. anguillarum</i> , <i>A. salmonicida</i>	Robertson et al., 2000
<i>Salmo salar</i> (Atlantic salmon)	<i>P. fluorescens</i>	<i>A. salmonicida</i>	Gram et al., 2001
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Rainbow trout)	<i>Carnobacterium</i> spp.	<i>V. anguillarum</i> , <i>A. salmonicida</i> , <i>V. ordalii</i> , <i>Y. ruckeri</i>	Robertson et al., 2000
<i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (Eel)	<i>E. facium</i>	<i>E. tarda</i>	Chang and Liu, 2002
<i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (Eel)	<i>Aeromonas media</i>	<i>Saprolegnia</i> spp.	Lategan et al., 2004b
<i>Sparus auratus</i> (Gilthead sea bream)	<i>Vibrio</i> spp., <i>Micrococcus</i> spp.	<i>L. anguillarum</i>	Chabrilion et al., 2006
<i>Carassius auratus</i> (Gold fish)	<i>A. hydrophila</i>	<i>A. salmonicida</i>	Irianto et al., 2003b
<i>Labeo rohita</i> (Indian major carp)	<i>B. subtilis</i>	<i>A. hydrophila</i>	Kumar et al., 2006
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Rainbow trout)	<i>P. fluorescens</i>	<i>V. anguillarum</i>	Gram et al., 1999
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Rainbow trout)	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	<i>A. salmonicida</i>	Nikoskelainen et al., 2003
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Rainbow trout)	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	<i>V. anguillarum</i>	Spanggaard et al., 2001
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Rainbow trout)	<i>A. hydrophila</i> , <i>V. fluvialis</i> , <i>Carnobacterium</i> spp.	<i>A. salmonicida</i>	Irianto and Austin, 2003a
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Rainbow trout)	BioPlus 2B	<i>Y. ruckeri</i>	Raida et al., 2003
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Rainbow trout)	<i>A. sobria</i>	<i>L. garvieae</i> , <i>S. iniae</i>	Brunt and Austin, 2005
<i>Solea senegalensis</i> (Senegalese sole)	<i>Vibrio</i> spp., <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp., <i>Micrococcus</i> spp.	<i>V. harveyi</i>	Chabrilion et al., 2005
<i>Bidyanus bidyanus</i> (Silver perch)	<i>A. media</i>	<i>Saprolegnia</i> spp.	Lategan et al., 2004a
<i>Paralichthys olivaceus</i> (Japanese flounder)	Commercial product	<i>E. tarda</i>	Taoka et al., 2006
<i>Scophthalmus maximus</i> (Turbot)	<i>Roseobacter</i> spp., <i>Vibrio</i> spp.	<i>V. anguillarum</i> , <i>V. splendidus</i>	Hjelm et al., 2004
<i>Salmo salar</i> (Atlantic salmon)	<i>Carnobacterium</i> spp.	<i>A. salmonicida</i> , <i>Vibrio</i> spp.	Robertson et al., 2000
<i>Gadus morhua</i> (Atlantic cod)	<i>C. divergens</i>	<i>V. anguillarum</i>	Gildberg et al., 1997
<i>Salmo trutta fario</i> (Brown trout)	<i>L. lactis</i>	<i>A. salmonicida</i>	Balcázar et al., 2009
<i>Solea senegalensis</i> (Senegalese Sole)	<i>S. putrificance</i> , <i>S. baltica</i>	<i>Photobacterium</i>	Diaz-Rosales et al., 2009
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Rainbow trout)	<i>C. maltaromaticum</i> , <i>C. divergens</i>	<i>A. salmonicida</i> , <i>Y. ruckeri</i>	Kim and Austin, 2006a; b
<i>Labeo rohita</i> (Indian major carp)	<i>B. subtilis</i>	<i>E. tarda</i>	Nayak, 2010
<i>Miichthys miiuy</i> (Brown Croaker)	<i>C. butyricum</i>	<i>V. anguillarum</i>	Sakai et al., 1995
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Rainbow trout)	<i>Kocuria</i> sp.	<i>V. anguillarum</i>	Sharifuzzaman and Austin, 2010b
<i>Epinephelus coioides</i> (Orange-spotted grouper)	<i>L. plantarum</i>	<i>Streptococcus</i> spp., <i>iridovirus</i>	Son et al., 2009
<i>Carassius auratus</i> (Gold fish)	Commercial probiotics	<i>P. fluorescens</i>	Abraham et al., 2008
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Rainbow trout)	<i>L. plantarum</i>	<i>L. garvieae</i>	Vendrell et al., 2008
<i>Salmo trutta fario</i> (Brown trout)	<i>S. putrefaciens</i>	<i>L. anguillarum</i>	Chabrilion et al., 2006
<i>Scophthalmus maximus</i> (turbot)	<i>Roseobacter</i> spp.	<i>V. anguillarum</i> , <i>V. splendidus</i>	Hjelm et al., 2004
<i>Oreochromis mossambicus</i> (Mossambic tilapia)	Lactic acid bacteria	<i>A. hydrophila</i>	Vijayabaskar and Somasundaram, 2008
Shrimp	Commercial product, <i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>Vibrio</i> spp.	Gram-negative bacteria, pathogenic vibrios,	Reviewed in Kesarcodi-Watson et al., 2008
<i>Haliotis midae</i> (Abalone)	Unidentified yeast and bacterium	<i>V. anguillarum</i>	Macey and Coyne, 2005
<i>Argopecten purpuratus</i> (Scallop larvae)	Marine bacteria	Pathogenic <i>Vibrio</i> and <i>Aeromonas</i> spp.	Riquelme et al., 2000

changed conditions and survives or suffers from physiological disturbances (Peuranen et al., 2003). Temperature change fundamentally influences the concentrations of enzymes via differential effect on

protein synthesis and protein degradation (Willmer et al., 2000). Molecular chaperons like heat shock proteins (HSPs) are also expressed differently with varying temperature (Hartl, 1996). Change in HSP

lead to change in plasma cortisol level and further the immunity of fish (Langston *et al.*, 2002). Even though there are controversies over the effects of temperature on the innate immune responses, it is well established that the effect of temperature also affects the microbial or probiotic strain. Hagi *et al.* (2004), observed a shift from *Lactococcus raffinolactis* to *Lactococcus lactis* in fish intestine in summer, when temperature rose above 20 °C.

Ameliorating effect on oxidative stress

Apart from pathogen pressure, aquatic animals are also subjected to temperature and other environmental perturbations that can severely affect their physiological state (Wabete *et al.*, 2008). Studies include elucidation of the presence of a wide range of contaminants (xenobiotics) (Ferreira *et al.*, 2005), UV-radiation, hypoxia and hyperoxia (Zenteno-Savín *et al.*, 2006), and other environmental physico-chemical parameters (Lesser, 2006) being linked to changes to physiological states of shrimps. All these factors are responsible for the oxidative stress in the animal. Hence, to ameliorate the effect of these oxidative stress factors, probiotics are fed to the experimental animals. The feeding of probiotics acts in such a way as to increase the antioxidant status of the experimental shrimps (Castex *et al.*, 2009). It can therefore be assumed that the probiotic diet may: (i) improve the diet utilization (Castex *et al.*, 2009) and contribute to increasing the assimilation of dietary antioxidants from the feed and/or (ii) plays a role in antioxidant activity. Castex *et al.* (2009) hypothesized that the anti-oxidative properties of a *Lactobacillus fermentum* strain may serve as a defensive mechanism in the intestinal microbial ecosystem and therefore help overcome exo- and endogenous oxidative stress (ess). *Lactobacillus fructivorans* and *L. plantarum*, when administered to sea bream, *Sparus auratus*, fry using the rotifer, *Brachionus plicatilis*, and/or *Artemia salina* as carrier, caused a significantly lower cortisol level and higher HSP 70 gene expression, and also resulted in significantly lower cumulative mortality in probiotic-treated sea bream, subjected to an acute pH stress (from 8.6 to 6.3) (Liu *et al.*, 2010). Recent studies by different research workers have revealed that the protective mechanism of the animal are influenced by normal intestinal micro-flora commonly taken as probiotics, by increasing the immunity especially the putative protective HSP under stress conditions (Koninkx and Malago, 2008). It has been reported by Taoka *et al.* (2006), that a commercial probiotic, Alchem Poseidon (a mixture of *Bacillus*

subtilis, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Clostridium butyricum* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*), is helpful in increasing the stress tolerance in *P. Olivaceus*, under closed recirculatory system. *Sparus auratus*, when fed with *Lactobacillus fructivorans* and *Lactobacillus plantarum*, resulted in checking the increase in cortisol level when subjected to acute stress condition (Varela *et al.*, 2010). Some of the Amazonian ornamental fishes (marbled hatchetfish *Carnegiella strigata*, Gomes *et al.*, 2008; cardinal tetra *Paracheirodon axelrodi*, Gomes *et al.*, 2009), also showed enhanced survival and decreased cortisol level when subjected to transportation stress. Varela *et al.* (2010) also reported that the administration of the probiotic strain, Pdp11, in the diet of *Sparus auratus*, helps in promoting the growth as well as improves the stress tolerance to high stocking density, thus suggesting its beneficial role in aquaculture industry. Mohapatra *et al.* (unpublished) reported the useful effect of feeding a multi-species probiotic diet to the *Labeo rohita* fingerlings in tolerating the stress caused by Fenvalerate, a widely used synthetic pyrethroid.

Synbiotics

Along with probiotics, prebiotics (Table 5), Bacteriocins (Table 6) and immunostimulants can also be used to enhance immunity in the host organism. Prebiotic also play a role in increasing growth rate, improving immune system as well as changing the community of bacterial in gastrointestinal track (Yousefian and Amiri, 2009). Prebiotics also offer a rational approach to the probiotic concept, e.g. reduction of gut pH through SCFA formation; secretion of antimicrobial substances; blocking of adhesion sites; attenuation of virulence; blocking of toxin receptor sites; immune stimulation; competition for nutrients, and suppression of toxin production (Fooks *et al.*, 1999; Gibson, 1999) (Table 5). Therefore, the term, synbiotics, can be very well applied in aquaculture to better the nutritional supplements, in a form of synergism. As the probiotic are mainly active in the small intestine and the probiotics play a role in large intestine in humans, a combination of both would render a much effective effect (Gibson and Roberfroid, 1995).

Molecular approach in probiotic use

Majority of generalized methodologies for assessment of probiotic effect on microbial ecology of the gut is based on anaerobic-cultivation approach, which gives incomplete idea about the microbial population,

Table 5 Prebiotics candidate for aquaculture

Prebiotics	Parameters investigated	Species tested	References
GroBiotac A	↑ feed efficiency, ↑ respiratory burst, ↑ resistance against <i>Streptococcus iniae</i> , ↑ growth performance	Hybrid striped bass (<i>Morone chrysops</i> X <i>Morone saxatilis</i>)	Li and Gatlin, 2004; Ringø et al., 2010
GOS	↑ growth performance, better feed utilization, body composition	Atlantic salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)	Sealey et al., 2007
	↑ Protein and organic ADC values ↓ Lipid ADC	Red drum (<i>Sciaenops ocellatus</i>)	Burr et al., 2008; Ringø et al., 2010
MOS	↑ growth performance, feed utilization, body composition, gut histology, Resistance to parasitic infection	Atlantic salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)	Grisdale-Helland et al., 2008
FOS	Growth performance, haematology or immune function	Channel catfish (<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>)	Grisdale-Helland et al., 2008
	Larval survival, Early survival and morphological development of early juvenile stages	European lobster (<i>Homarus gammarus</i>)	Ringø et al., 2010
	Gut microbiota, gut histology, growth performance, feed utilization, immune response, body composition, disease resistance	Rainbow trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>)	Daniels et al., 2006, 2007; Ringø et al., 2010
	Growth performance, feed utilization, body composition	Atlantic salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)	Dimitroglou et al., 2009; Rodriguez-Estrada et al., 2009
scFOS	↑ growth rate	Soft-shell turtle (<i>Trionyx sinensis</i>)	Grisdale-Helland et al., 2008
	↑ growth rate, effect on gut microbiota	Turbot larvae (<i>Scophthalmus maximus</i>)	Ringø et al., 2010
	↑ growth rate, feed intake, feed conversion, survival and condition factor	Hybrid Tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> ♀ X <i>Oreochromis aureus</i> ♂)	Ringø et al., 2010; Mahious et al., 2006
XOS	Weight gain, feed conversion, gut microbiota	white shrimp (<i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>)	Ringø et al., 2010; Hui-Yuan et al., 2007
	↑ growth, survival, ↑ enzymatic activity	Crucian carp (<i>Carassius auratus gibelio</i>)	Li et al., 2007; Ringø et al., 2010
AXOS	Growth, butyrate production	African catfish (<i>Clarius gariepinus</i>)	Ringø et al., 2010; Rurangwa et al., 2008
	Microbial community in the hindgut, butyrate production, growth	Siberian sturgeon (<i>Acipenser baeri</i>)	Rurangwa et al., 2008; Ringø et al., 2010
IMO	Microbial population, immune response, resistance to WSSV (White Spot Syndrome Virus)	Pacific white shrimp (<i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>)	Li et al., 2009; Ringø et al., 2010
Inulin	Susceptibility against <i>A. hydrophila</i> and <i>E. tarda</i>	Tilapia (<i>Tilapia aureus</i>)	Ringø et al., 2010
	↓ Intestinal cell damage, ↓ TVC, microbiota control	Arctic charr (<i>Salvelinus alpinus</i>)	Ringø et al., 2006, 2010
	↓ Intestinal cell damage, ↑ Intestinal growth	Atlantic salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)	Bakke-McKellep et al., 2007; Refstie et al., 2006
	↓ Intestinal cell damage, inhibition of phagocytosis and respiratory burst in leucocytes	Gilthead seabream (<i>Sparus auratus</i>)	Ringø et al., 2006

FOS, fructooligosaccharides; scFOS, short-chain fructooligosaccharides; MOS, mannanoligosaccharides; GOS, galactooligosaccharides; XOS, xylooligosaccharides; AXOS, arabinoxylooligosaccharides; IMO, isomaltooligosaccharides; TVC, total viable count; ADC, apparent digestibility coefficient.

their interaction with other inhabitant and host. However, the rapid advancement in molecular techniques, metagenomics and high-resolution post genomics has opened avenue for better understanding of probiotic interaction in gut. The development of gnotobiotic technology and specific germ free animal models opens new avenue for greater under-

standing of host- pathogen interaction and their effect on global development of a particular organism (Kleerebezem and Vaughan, 2009). Moreover, the availability of genome sequence data for numerous microbes generates a alternative method, i.e. 16s ribosomal RNA analysis-approach, for detailed analysis of gut population (Ravi et al., 2007).

Table 6 Bacteriocin candidates for aquaculture

Organism	Class	Molecular weight (kDa)	Mode of action	References
<i>E. coli</i>	Colicin	40–80	Nuclease/pore-forming	Riley and Wertz, 2002
	Microcin	3.1	Intracellular enzymes	Duquesne <i>et al.</i> , 2007
<i>Prochloron didemni</i>	Microcin like	0.7	Not yet defined	Schmidt <i>et al.</i> , 2005
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	Pyocins	270 (AA)/75–94	Pore-forming/Phage tail like	Duport <i>et al.</i> , 1995
<i>Hafnia alvei</i>	Alveicins	358–408 (AA)	Pore-forming	Wertz and Riley, 2004
<i>K. pneumonia</i>	Klebcin	96	Nuclease	Riley <i>et al.</i> , 2001
<i>Serratia plymthicum</i>	Serracin	66	Phage tail like	Jabrane <i>et al.</i> , 2002
<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i>	Glycericin	50	Phage tail like	Pham <i>et al.</i> , 2004
<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>	Enterocolitacin	669	Phage tail like	Strauch <i>et al.</i> , 2001
<i>Erwinia carotovora</i>	Carotocorcin	68/76	Phage tail like	Nguyen <i>et al.</i> , 2002
Other lactic acid bacteria	Class I/III/Lantibiotic	0.7–5.8	Pore-forming	Oppegård <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Martin-Visscher <i>et al.</i> , 2009
<i>Streptococcus milleri</i>	Millericin	30	Peptidoglycan hydrolysis	Beukes <i>et al.</i> , 2000
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	Enterolysin	345	Peptidoglycan hydrolysis	Nilsen <i>et al.</i> , 2003
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Lysostaphin	25	Peptidoglycan hydrolysis	Kumar <i>et al.</i> , 2008
<i>Listyionella anguillarum</i>	Vibriocin Avp10		Inhibit <i>E. coli</i>	Zai <i>et al.</i> , 2009
<i>Vibrio mediterranei</i>	Bacteriocin Like Inhibitory Substances (BLIS)	63–65	Inhibit pathogenic strains of <i>Vibrio</i>	Carraturo <i>et al.</i> , 2006
<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	BLIS		Pore-forming	Messi <i>et al.</i> , 2003
<i>Pseudoalteromonas</i> spp.	Antibiotic protein	280	Peptidoglycan hydrolysis	Longeon <i>et al.</i> , 2004
<i>Cornibacterium divergens</i> V41	Divercin V41	4509	Inhibit <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	Richard <i>et al.</i> , 2004
<i>Cornibacterium piscicola</i> V1	Piscocin V1a/b	4416/4526	Inhibits <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	Richard <i>et al.</i> , 2004

Recently, in this regard, Avella *et al.* (2010) have found that clown fish larvae fed with lactic acid bacteria has both faster growth and development as well as higher survivability due to increase in several related gene i.e. insulin like growth factors, retinoic acid receptor, vitamin D receptor, Myostatin, and reduction in glucocorticoid receptor and 70-KD heat shock protein respectively. This improvement is due to the presence of two different kinds of pilus operon (spaCBA, spaFED) of *Lactobacillus* spp. which improves the mucus interaction and further adherence and colonization (Kankainen *et al.*, 2009).

Safety and evaluation of probiotics

The known benefits of probiotics in aquaculture farming include direct effects like, immunity enhancement, disease control; feed conversion improvement effects on the organism through microbial colonization of the digestive tract and indirect/environmental effects i.e. water quality improvement, reduction of water exchange, and reduction of sludge accumulation. All of these effects combined have a synergist impact on the financial performance of the farm. It is expected that probiotics will be used in aquaculture to replace the use of antibiotics and will lead the aquaculture industry to future organic

farming. Probiotic also reduces the cost of fish farming by decreasing the feed cost per unit growth of fish (El-Haroun *et al.*, 2006). El-Dakar *et al.* (2007) demonstrated a marked 73%–78% reduction in feed cost of rabbit fish (*Siganus rivulatus*) culture only through Biogen® (mixture of probiotic and prebiotic) supplementation. The dose-effect ratio should be carefully monitored for different stages of culture to get a higher benefit (Verschuere *et al.*, 2000a). Some bacteria especially the gram-negative bacteria may lose their beneficial properties in artificial culture condition (Ringo *et al.*, 2004). A simple and rapid means of culturing, storing and administering would be preferred by aquaculturists. However, with many candidate probiotics being Gram-negative, sterile laboratory techniques, equipment and skills are required to ensure product quality, all at extra expense. Therefore, endospore forming gram-positive bacteria and non-bacterial microbes (Yeast and microalgae) are economically viable competitor (Eddy and Jones, 2002; Tovar-Ramirez *et al.*, 2004).

As the search for probiotic bacteria continues, novel species and species-specific strains of probiotic bacteria are constantly identified. Treatment with these new probiotics is relatively safe, but not entirely risk-free. Probiotics are originally pathogenic in nature (Ishibashi and Yamazaki, 2001) with a

potential of bacterial translocation. Considering this situation, safety of probiotics become utmost important and therefore safety considerations of putative probiotic should be a pre-requisite of the process of development and marketing. Evaluation should include consideration for the both end-product formulation and mechanism of action, since these can induce adverse effects in some subjects or negate the positive effects altogether. However, conventional methods relying on phenotypic characterization, growth requirements and characteristics, fermentation profiles, and serology studies have been proven useful but carry inherent deficiencies (Qi et al., 2009). The modern molecular techniques may be used to over the conventional lacunies and help to identify the correct strain of probiotics. Presently, various molecular finger printing techniques i.e. polymerase chain reaction – denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis/temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE/TGGE), terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP), multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) and fluorescence amplified fragment length polymorphism (F-AFLP) have been proven useful in strain differentiation in case of higher organism (Huys et al., 2006). These techniques can be customized for probiotics assessment in aquaculture.

Conclusion and future prospective

Probiotics or the useful microbes help in playing a vital role in sustainable aquaculture production. As the ban on antibiotics is being implemented all over the world, probiotics are gaining much importance (Panigrahi and Azad, 2007). Therefore, a wide knowledge of the mode of action of the probiotics is highly essential. Despite the potential benefits to health and performance as noted in various terrestrial species, less information is available about the effect of probiotics in fish. Although in fish, the effect of probiotics on growth, feed efficiency, gut microbiota, disease susceptibility, on innate immune parameters, mucosal barriers, cell damage/morphology have been investigated quite thoroughly but their detailed mechanism of action is largely unknown. Moreover, species/strain/stage specificity of probiotics are a great concern which need to be addressed carefully and promptly.

There are several initiative on multiple combination of probiotics to get a pronounced effect but more detailed and focused studies are necessary for betterment of future aquaculture. Furthermore, scarce studies are reported on role of probiotics in

different environmental stress management. In-depth analysis of the surrounding environment-probiotic correlation might have greater influences on future fisheries and aquaculture management.

Following the numerous genome-sequencing tools that are currently used, future research on probiotic effects should involve transcriptome and proteome analysis using high throughput assays. In addition, transcriptome and proteome profiling of gut microbiota should be thoroughly documented in order to know the varying mode of action of different probiotic organism. Studies on probiotics should, therefore, be given high priority in the future, and molecular analysis should be included as standard criteria to assess their effects on fish health and nutrition.

References

- Abraham, T. J.; Mondal, S.; Babu, C. S., 2008: Effect of commercial aquaculture probiotic and fish gut antagonistic bacterial flora on the growth and disease resistance of ornamental fishes *Carassius auratus* and *Xiphophorus helleri*. *Journal of Fish Aquatic Science* **25**, 27–30.
- Alami-Durante, H.; Charlon, H.; Escaffre, A. M.; Bergot, P., 1991: Supplementation of artificial diets for common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) larvae. *Aquaculture* **93**, 167–175.
- Al-Dohail, M. A.; Hashim, R.; Aliyu-Paiko, M., 2009: Effects of the probiotic, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, on the growth performance, haematology parameters and immunoglobulin concentration in African Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*, Burchell 1822) fingerling. *Aquaculture Research* **40**, 1642–1652.
- Aly, S. M.; Abd-El-Rahman, A. M.; John, G.; Mohamed, M. F., 2008a: Characterization of some bacteria isolated from *Oreochromis niloticus* and their potential use as probiotics. *Aquaculture* **277**, 1–6.
- Aly, S. M.; Ahmed, Y. A. G.; Ghareeb, A. A. A.; Mohamed, M. F., 2008b: Studies on *Bacillus subtilis* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, as potential probiotics, on the immune response and resistance of Tilapia nilotica (*Oreochromis niloticus*) to challenge infections. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **25**, 128–136.
- Andlid, T.; Juarez, R. V.; Gustafsson, L., 1995: Yeast colonizing the intestine of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) and turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). *Microbial Ecology* **30**, 321–334.
- Arijo, S.; Brunt, J.; Chabrillon, M.; Diaz-Rosales, P.; Austin, B., 2008: Subcellular components of *Vibrio harveyi* and probiotics induce immune responses in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum), against *V. harveyi*. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **31**, 579–590.

- Aubin, J.; Gatesoupe, F. J.; Labbé, L.; Lebrun, L., 2005: Trial of probiotics to prevent the vertebral column compression syndrome in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum). *Aquaculture Research* **36**, 758–767.
- Austin, B.; Stuckey, L. F.; Robertson, P. A. W.; Effendi, I.; Griffith, D. R. W., 1995: A probiotics strain of *Vibrio alginolyticus* effective in reducing diseases caused by *Aeromonas salmonicida*, *Vibrio anguillarum* and *Vibrio ordalii*. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **18**, 93–96.
- Avella, M. A.; Olivotto, I.; Silvi, S.; Place, A. R.; Carnevali, O., 2010: Effect of dietary probiotics on clownfish: A molecular approach to define how lactic acid bacteria modulate development in a marine fish. *American Journal of Physiology; Regulatory, Interactive and Comparative Physiology* **298**, R359–R371.
- Bagheri, T.; Hedayati, S. A.; Yavari, V.; Alizade, M.; Farzanfar, A., 2008: Growth, survival and gut microbial load of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fry given diet supplemented with probiotic during the two months of first feeding. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture Science* **8**, 43–48.
- Bairagi, A.; Ghosh, K.; Sen, S. K.; Ray, A. K., 2002: Enzyme producing bacterial flora isolated from fish digestive tracts. *Aquaculture International* **10**, 109–121.
- Bakke-McKellep, A. M.; Penn, M. H.; Salas, P. M.; Refstie, S.; Sperstad, S.; Landsverk, T.; Ringø, E.; Krogdahl, Å., 2007: Effects of dietary soybean meal, inulin and oxytetracycline on gastrointestinal histological characteristics, distal intestine cell proliferation and intestinal microbiota in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *British Journal of Nutrition* **97**, 699–713.
- Balcázar, J. L., 2003: Evaluation of probiotic bacterial strains in *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Final Report, National Center for Marine and Aquaculture Research, Guayaquil, Ecuador.
- Balcázar, J. L.; de Blas, I.; Ruiz-Zarzuela, I.; Vendrell, D.; Muzquiz, J. L., 2004: Probiotics: a tool for the future of fish and shellfish health management. *Journal of Aquaculture in the Tropics* **19**, 239–242.
- Balcázar, J. L.; Blas, I. d.; Ruiz-Zarzuela, I.; Cunningham, D.; Vendrell, D.; Múzquiz, J. L., 2006: The role of probiotics in aquaculture. *Veterinary Microbiology* **114**, 173–186.
- Balcázar, J. L.; de Blas, I.; Ruiz-Zarzuela, I.; Vendrell, D.; Calvo, A. C.; Marquez, I.; Girones, O.; Muzquiz, J. L., 2007a: Changes in intestinal microbiota and humoral immune response following probiotic administration in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). *British Journal of Nutrition* **97**, 522–527.
- Balcázar, J. L.; de Blas, I.; Ruiz-Zarzuela, I.; Vendrell, D.; Gironés, O.; Muzquiz, J. L., 2007b: Enhancement of the immune response and protection induced by probiotic lactic acid bacteria against furunculosis in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology* **51**, 185–193.
- Balcázar, J. L.; Vendrell, D. L.; de Blas, I.; Ruiz-Zarzuela, I.; Muzquiz, J. L., 2009: Effect of *Lactococcus lactis* CLFP 100 and *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* CLFP 196 on *Aeromonas salmonicida* infection in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). *Journal of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology* **17**, 153–157.
- Bandyopadhyay, P.; Das Mohapatra, P. K., 2009: Effect of a probiotic bacterium *Bacillus circulans* PB7 in the formulated diets: on growth, nutritional quality and immunity of *Catla catla* (Ham.). *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **35**, 467–478.
- Banerjee, S.; Khatoon, H.; Shariff, M.; Yusoff, F. Md., 2010: Enhancement of *Penaeus monodon* shrimp postlarvae growth and survival without water exchange using marine *Bacillus pumilus* and periphytic microalgae. *Fish Science* **76**, 481–487.
- Beukes, M.; Bierbaum, G.; Sahl, H. G.; Hastings, J. W., 2000: Purification and partial characterization of a murein hydrolase, millericin B, produced by *Streptococcus milleri* NMSCC 061. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* **66**, 23–28.
- Bjornsdottir, R.; Karadottir, E. G.; Johannsdottir, J.; Thorarinsdottir, E. E.; Smaradottir, H.; Sigurgisladottir, S.; Gudmundsdottir, B. K., 2010: Selection of bacteria and the effects of bacterial treatment of Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus* L.) eggs and larvae. *Aquaculture* **302**, 219–227.
- Bolasina, S.; Pérez, A.; Yamashita, Y., 2006: Digestive enzymes activity during ontogenetic development and effect of starvation in Japanese flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus*. *Aquaculture* **252**, 503–515.
- Brunt, J.; Austin, B., 2005: Use of a probiotic to control lactococcosis and streptococcosis in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). *Journal of Fish Diseases* **28**, 693–701.
- Brunt, J.; Newaj-Fyzul, A.; Austin, B., 2007: The development of probiotics for the control of multiple bacterial diseases of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). *Journal of Fish Diseases* **30**, 573–579.
- Burr, G.; Hume, M.; William, H.; Neill, W. H.; Gatlin, D. M., III, 2008: Effects of prebiotics on nutrient digestibility of a soybeanmeal-based diet by red drum *Sciaenops ocellatus* (Linnaeus). *Aquaculture Research* **39**, 1680–1686.
- Cabello, F. C., 2006: Heavy use of prophylactic antibiotics in aquaculture: a growing problem for human and animal health and for the environment. *Environmental Microbiology* **8**, 1137–1144.
- Capkin, E.; Altinok, I., 2009: Effects of dietary probiotic supplementations on prevention/treatment of yersiniosis disease. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **106**, 1147–1153.
- Carraturo, A.; Raieta, K.; Ottaviani, D.; Russo, G. L., 2006: Inhibition of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* by a bacteriocin-like inhibitory substance (BLIS) produced by

- Vibrio mediterranei*. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **101**, 234–241.
- Castex, M.; Lemaire, P.; Wabete, N.; Chim, L., 2009: Effect of dietary probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* on anti-oxidant defences and oxidative stress status of shrimp, *Litopenaeus stylirostris*. *Aquaculture* **294**, 306–313.
- Chabrillon, M.; Rico, R. M.; Balebona, M. C.; Morinigo, M., 2005: Adhesion to sole *Solea senegalensis* Kaup, mucus of microorganisms isolated from farmed fish, and their interaction with *Photobacterium damsela* subsp. *Piscicida*. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **28**, 229–237.
- Chabrillon, M.; Arijo, S.; Diaz-Rosale, P.; Balebonz, M. C.; Morinigo, M. A., 2006: Interference of *Listonella anguillarum* with potential probiotic microorganisms isolated from farmed gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*, L.). *Aquaculture Research* **37**, 78–86.
- Chang, C. I.; Liu, W. Y., 2002: An evaluation of two probiotic bacterial strains, *Enterococcus faecium* SF68 and *Bacillus toyoi*, for reducing edwardsiellosis in cultured European eel, *Anguilla anguilla* L. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **25**, 311–315.
- Choi, S. H.; Yoon, T. J., 2008: Non-specific immune response of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) by dietary heat-inactivated potential probiotics. *Immune Network* **8**, 67–74.
- Cross, M. L., 2002: Microbes versus microbes: immune signals generated by probiotic lactobacilli and their role in protection against microbial pathogens. *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology* **34**, 245–253.
- Daniels, C.; Boothroyd, D.; Davies, S.; Pryor, R.; Taylor, D.; Wells, C., 2006: Bio-MOS improves growth and survival of cultures lobsters. *Shellfish News* **21**, 23–25.
- Daniels, C.; Boothroyd, D.; Davies, S.; Pryor, R.; Wells, C., 2007: The use of prebiotics in homarid lobster culture. *Aquaculture Health International* **8**, 32–35.
- De Carla Dias, D.; De Stefani, M. V.; Ferreira, C. M.; Franca, F. M.; Ranzani-Paiva, M. J. T.; Santos, A. A., 2010: Haematologic and immunologic parameters of bullfrogs, *Lithobates catesbeianus*, fed probiotics. *Aquaculture Research* **41**, 1064–1071.
- Defoirdt, T.; Boon, N.; Bossier, P.; Verstraete, W., 2004: Disruption of bacterial quorum sensing: an unexplored strategy to fight infections in aquaculture. *Aquaculture* **240**, 69–88.
- Defoirdt, T.; Bossier, P.; Sorgeloos, P.; Verstraete, W., 2005: The impact of mutations in the quorum sensing systems of *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Vibrio anguillarum* and *Vibrio harveyi* on their virulence towards gnotobiotically cultured *Artemia franciscana*. *Environmental Microbiology* **7**, 1239–1247.
- Defoirdt, T.; Crab, R.; Wood, T. K.; Sorgeloos, P.; Verstraete, W.; Bossier, P., 2006: Quorum sensing—disrupting brominated furanones protect the gnotobiotic brine shrimp *Artemia franciscana* from pathogenic *Vibrio harveyi*, *Vibrio campbellii*, and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* isolates. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* **72**, 6419–6423.
- Desriac, F.; Defer, D.; Bourgougnon, N.; Brillet, B.; Chevalier, P. L.; Fleury, Y., 2010: Bacteriocin as weapons in the marine animal-associated bacteria warfare: inventory and potential applications as an aquaculture probiotic. *Marine Drugs* **8**, 1153–1177.
- Diaz-Rosales, P.; Salinas, I.; Rodriguez, A.; Cuesta, A.; Chabrillon, M.; Balebona, M. C.; Morinigo, M. A.; Esteban, M. A.; Mesequer, J., 2006a: Gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.) innate immune response after dietary administration of heat-inactivated potential probiotics. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **20**, 482–492.
- Diaz-Rosales, P.; Rico, R. M.; Arijo, S.; Chabrillon, M.; Balebona, M. C.; Saenz de Rodriganez, M. A.; Alarcon, F. J.; Morinigo, M. A., 2006b: Effect of two probiotics on respiratory burst of phagocytes from sole (*Solea senegalensis*, Kaup 1858). *Aquaculture Europe 2006. Linking Tradition and Technology. Highest Quality for the Consumer*. Florence, Italy.
- Diaz-Rosales, P.; Arijo, S.; Chabrillon, M.; Alarcon, F. J.; Tapia-Paniagua, S. T.; Martinez-Manzanares, E.; Balebona, M. C.; Morinigo, M. A., 2009: Effects of two closely related probiotics on respiratory burst activity of Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*, Kaup) phagocytes, and protection against *Photobacterium damsela* subsp. *piscicida*. *Aquaculture* **293**, 16–21.
- Dimitroglou, A.; Merrifield, D. L.; Moate, R.; Davies, S. J.; Spring, P.; Sweetman, J.; Bradley, G., 2009: Dietary mannan oligosaccharide supplementation modulates intestinal microbial ecology and improves gut morphology of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). *Journal of Animal Science* **87**, 3226–3234.
- Douillet, P. A.; Langdon, C. J., 1994: Use of a probiotic for the culture of larvae of Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*). *Aquaculture* **119**, 25–40.
- Duport, C.; Baysse, C.; Michel-Briand, Y., 1995: Molecular characterization of pyocin S3, a novel S-type pyocin from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **270**, 8920–8927.
- Duquesne, S.; Destoumieux-Garzon, D.; Peduzzi, J.; Rebuffat, S., 2007: Microcins, gene-encoded antibacterial peptides from enterobacteria. *Natural Product Reports* **24**, 708–734.
- Eddy, S. D.; Jones, S. H., 2002: Microbiology of summer flounder, *Paralichthys dentatus* fingerling production at a marine fish hatchery. *Aquaculture* **211**, 9–28.
- El-Dakar, A. Y.; Shalaby, S. M.; Saoud, I. P., 2007: Assessing the use of a dietary probiotic/prebiotic as an enhancer of spinefoot rabbitfish *Siganus rivulatus* survival and growth. *Aquaculture Nutrition* **13**, 407–412.
- El-Haroun, E. R.; Goda, A. M. A. S.; Chowdhury, K. M. A., 2006: Effect of dietary probiotic Biogen[®] supplementation as a growth promoter on growth perfor-

- mance and feed utilization of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). *Aquaculture Research* **37**, 1473–1480.
- Farzanfar, A., 2006: The use of probiotics in shrimp aquaculture. *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology* **48**, 149–158.
- Ferreira, M.; Moradas-Ferreira, P.; Reis-Henriques, M. A., 2005: Oxidative stress biomarkers in two resident species, mullet (*Mugil cephalus*) and flounder (*Platichthys flesus*), from a polluted site in River Douro Estuary, Portugal. *Aquatic Toxicology* **71**, 39–48.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 2001: Health and Nutritional Properties of Probiotics in Food including Powder Milk with Live Lactic Acid Bacteria. In the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation report on Evaluation of Health and Nutritional Properties of Probiotics in Food Including Powder Milk with Live Lactic Acid Bacteria (October 2001).
- Fooks, L. J.; Fuller, R.; Gibson, G. R., 1999: Prebiotics, probiotics and human gut microbiology. *International Dairy Journal* **9**, 53–61.
- Fukami, K.; Nishijima, T.; Ishida, Y., 1997: Stimulative and inhibitory effects of bacteria on the growth of microalgae. *Hydrobiologia* **358**, 185–191.
- Garriques, D.; Arevalo, G., 1995: An evaluation of the production and use of a live bacterial isolate to manipulate the microbial flora in the commercial production of *Penaeus vannamei* postlarvae in Ecuador. In: *Swimming through Troubled Water, Proceedings of the Special Session on Shrimp Farming, Aquaculture*, 95 (C.L. Browdy and J.S. Hopskins eds.), World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, LA, USA, pp. 53–59.
- Gatesoupe, F. J., 1989: Continuous feeding of turbot larvae (*Scophthalmus maximus*) and control of the bacterial environment of rotifers. *Aquaculture* **89**, 139–148.
- Gatesoupe, F. J., 1997: Siderophore production and probiotic effect of *Vibrio* sp. associated with turbot larvae, *Scophthalmus maximus*. *Aquatic Living Resources* **10**, 239–246.
- Gatesoupe, F. J., 1999: The use of probiotics in aquaculture. *Aquaculture* **180**, 147–165.
- Ghosh, S.; Sinha, A.; Sahu, C., 2008: Dietary probiotic supplementation in growth and health of live-bearing ornamental fishes. *Aquaculture Nutrition* **14**, 289–299.
- Gibson, L. F., 1999: Bacteriocin activity and probiotic activity of *Aeromonas media*. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **85**, S243–S248.
- Gibson, G. R.; Roberfroid, M. B., 1995: Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: introducing the concept of prebiotics. *Journal of Nutrition* **125**, 1401–1412.
- Gibson, L. F.; Woodworth, J.; George, A. M., 1998: Probiotic activity of *Aeromonas media* on the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*, when challenged with *Vibrio tubiashii*. *Aquaculture* **169**, 111–120.
- Gildberg, A.; Johansen, A.; Boegwald, J., 1995: Growth and survival of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fry given diets supplemented with fish protein hydrolysate and lactic acid bacteria during a challenge trial with *Aeromonas salmonicida*. *Aquaculture* **138**, 23–34.
- Gildberg, A.; Mikkelsen, H.; Sandaker, E.; Ringo, E., 1997: Probiotic effect of lactic acid bacteria in the feed on growth and survival of fry of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Hydrobiologia* **352**, 279–285.
- Gomes, L. C.; Brinn, R. P.; Marcon, J. L.; Dantas, L. A.; Brandao, F. R.; Abreu, J. S.; McComb, D. M.; Baldisserotto, B., 2008: Using Efinol®L during transportation of Marbled hatchetfish, *Carnegiella strigata* (Günther). *Aquaculture Research* **39**, 1292–1298.
- Gomes, L. C.; Brinn, R. P.; Marcon, J. L.; Dantas, L. A.; Brandao, F. R.; De Abreu, J. S.; Lemos, P. E. M.; McComb, D. M.; Baldisserotto, B., 2009: Benefits of using the probiotic Efinol®L during transportation of cardinal tetra, *Paracheirodon axelrodi* (Schultz), in the Amazon. *Aquaculture Research* **40**, 157–165.
- Gram, L.; Melchiorson, J.; Spanggaard, B.; Huber, I.; Nielsen, T. F., 1999: Inhibition of *Vibrio anguillarum* by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* AH2, a possible probiotic treatment of fish. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **65**, 969–973.
- Gram, L.; Lovold, T.; Nielsen, J.; Melchiorson, J.; Spanggaard, B., 2001: *In vitro* antagonism of the probiont *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain AH2 against *Aeromonas salmonicida* does not confer protection of salmon against furunculosis. *Aquaculture* **199**, 1–11.
- Grisdale-Helland, B.; Helland, S. J.; Gatlin, D. M., III, 2008: The effects of dietary supplementation with mannanoligosaccharide, fructooligosaccharide or galactooligosaccharide on the growth and feed utilization of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *Aquaculture* **283**, 163–167.
- Hagi, T.; Tanaka, D.; Iwamura, Y.; Hoshino, T., 2004: Diversity and seasonal changes in lactic acid bacteria in the intestinal tract of cultured freshwater fish. *Aquaculture* **234**, 335–346.
- Hartl, F. U., 1996: Molecular chaperones in protein folding. *Nature* **381**, 571–580.
- Harzevili, A. R. S.; Van Duffel, H.; Dhert, P. H.; Swings, J.; Sorgeloos, P., 1998: Use of potential probiotic *Lactococcus lactis* AR21 strain for the enhancement of growth in the rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis* (Muller). *Aquaculture Research* **29**, 411–417.
- Hirata, H.; Murata, O.; Yamada, S.; Ishitani, H.; Wachi, M., 1998: Probiotic culture of the rotifer *Branchionus plicatilis*. *Hydrobiologia* **387/388**, 495–498.
- Hjelm, M.; Bergh, O.; Riaza, A.; Nielsen, J.; Melchiorson, J.; Jensen, S.; Duncan, H.; Ahrens, P.; Birkbeck, H.; Gram, L., 2004: Selection and identification of autochthonous potential probiotic bacteria from turbot larvae (*Scophthalmus maximus*) rearing units. *Systemic and Applied Microbiology* **27**, 360–371.

- Hui-Yuan, L.; Zhigang, Z.; Rudeaux, F.; Respondek, F., 2007: Effects of dietary short chain fructo-oligosaccharides on intestinal microflora, mortality and growth performance of *Oreochromis aureus* ♂ x *O. niloticus* ♀. *Chinese Journal of Animal Nutrition* **19**, 1–6.
- Huys, G.; Vancanneyt, M.; D'Haene, K.; Vankerckhoven, V.; Goossens, H.; Swings, J., 2006: Accuracy of species identity of commercial bacterial cultures intended for probiotic or nutritional use. *Research in Microbiology* **157**, 803–810.
- Irianto, A.; Austin, B., 2002a: Use of probiotics to control furunculosis in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). *Journal of Fish Diseases* **25**, 333–342.
- Irianto, A.; Austin, B., 2002b: Probiotics in aquaculture. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **25**, 633–642.
- Irianto, A.; Austin, B., 2003: Use of dead probiotic cells to control furunculosis in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). *Journal of Fish Diseases* **26**, 59–62.
- Irianto, A.; Robertson, P. A. W.; Austin, B., 2003: Oral administration of formalin-inactivated cells of *Aeromonas hydrophila* A3-51 controls infection by atypical *A. salmonicida* in goldfish, *Carassius auratus* (L.). *Journal of Fish Diseases* **26**, 117–120.
- Ishibashi, N.; Yamazaki, S., 2001: Probiotics and safety. *American Journal Clinical Nutrition* **73**, 465S–470S.
- Jabrane, A.; Sabri, A.; Compere, P.; Jacques, P.; Vandenberghe, I.; Van Beeumen, J.; Thonart, P., 2002: Characterization of serracin P, a phage-tail-like bacteriocin, and its activity against *Erwinia amylovora*, the fire blight pathogen. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **68**, 5704–5710.
- Joborn, A.; Olsson, J. C.; Westerdahl, A.; Conway, P. L.; Kjelleberg, S., 1997: Colonization in the fish intestinal tract and production of inhibitory substances in intestinal mucus and faecal extracts by *Carnobacterium* sp. strain Kl. *Journal of Fish Disease* **20**, 383–392.
- Kamei, Y.; Yoshimizu, M.; Ezura, Y.; Kimura, T., 1988: Screening of bacteria with antiviral activity from fresh water salmonid hatcheries. *Microbiology and Immunology* **32**, 67–73.
- Kankainen, M.; Paulin, L.; Tynkkynen, S.; von Ossowski, I.; Reunanen, J.; Partanen, P.; Satokari, R.; Vesterlund, S.; Hendrickx, A. P. A.; Lebeer, S.; De Keersmaecker, S. J.; Vanderleyden, J.; Hämäläinen, T.; Laukkanen, S.; Salovuori, N.; Ritari, J.; Alatalo, E.; Korpela, R.; Mattila-Sandholm, T.; Lassig, A.; Hatakka, K.; Kinnunen, K. T.; Karjalainen, H.; Saxelin, M.; Laakso, K.; Surakka, A.; Palva, A.; Salusjärvi, T.; Auvinen, P.; de Vos, W. M., 2009: Comparative genomic analysis of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG reveals pili encoding a human-mucus binding protein. *Proceedings of National Academy of Science, USA* **106**, 17193–17198.
- Kesarcodi-Watson, A.; Kaspar, H.; Lategan, M. J.; Gibson, L., 2008: Probiotics in aquaculture: the need, principles and mechanisms of action and screening processes. *Aquaculture* **274**, 1–14.
- Keysami, M. A.; Saad, C. R.; Sijam, K.; Daud, H. M.; Alimon, A. R., 2007: Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* on growth development and survival of postlarvae *Macrobachium rosenbergii* (de Man). *Aquaculture Nutrition* **13**, 131–136.
- Kim, D. H.; Austin, B., 2006a: Cytokine expression in leucocytes and gut cells of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum, induced by probiotics. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* **114**, 297–304.
- Kim, D. H.; Austin, B., 2006b: Innate immune responses in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum) induced by probiotics. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **21**, 513–524.
- Kim, J. S.; Harikrishnan, R.; Kim, M. C.; Balasundaram, C.; Heo, M. S., 2010: Dietary administration of *Zooshikella* sp. to enhance the innate immune response and disease resistance of *Paralichthys olivaceus* against *Streptococcus iniae*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **29**, 104–110.
- Kleerebezem, M.; Vaughan, E. E., 2009: Probiotic and gut lactobacilli and bifidobacteria: Molecular approaches to study diversity and activity. *Annual Review of Microbiology* **63**, 269–290.
- Koninx, J. F. J. G.; Malago, J. J., 2008: The protective potency of probiotic bacteria and their microbial products against enteric infections-review. *Folia Microbiologica* **53**, 189–194.
- Kumar, R.; Mukherjee, S. C.; Pani Prasad, K.; Pal, A. K., 2006: Evaluation of bacillus subtilis as a probiotic to Indian major carp *Labeo rohita* (ham.). *Aquaculture Research* **37**, 1215–1221.
- Kumar, R.; Mukherjee, S. C.; Ranjan, R.; Nayak, S. K., 2008: Enhanced innate immune parameters in *Labeo rohita* (Ham.) following oral administration of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **24**, 168–172.
- Kurath, G., 2008: Biotechnology and DNA vaccines for aquatic animals. *Revue Scientifique et Technique (Technical Office of Epizootics)* **27**, 175–196.
- Laloo, R.; Moonsamy, G.; Ramchuran, S.; Gorgens, J.; Gardiner, N., 2010: Competitive exclusion as a mode of action of a novel *Bacillus cereus* aquaculture biological agent. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* **50**, 563–570.
- Langston, A. L.; Hoare, R.; Stefansson, M.; Fitzgerald, R.; Wergeland, H.; Mulcahy, M., 2002: The effect on non-specific defence parameters of three strains of juvenile Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus* L.). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **12**, 61–76.
- Lara-Flores, M.; Aguirre-Guzman, G., 2009: The use of probiotic in fish and shrimp aquaculture. A review: Chapter 4. In: N. Pérez-Guerra, L. Pastrana-Castro (eds.), *Probiotics: Production, Evaluation and Uses in Animal Feed*. Research Signpost, Kerala, India.
- Lategan, M. J.; Torpy, F. R.; Gibson, L. F., 2004a: Biocontrol of saprolegniosis in silver perch *Bidyanus bidyanus*

- (Mitchell) by *Aeromonas media* strain A199. *Aquaculture* , **235**, 77–88.
- Lategan, M. J.; Torpy, F. R.; Gibson, L. F., 2004b: Control of saprolegniosis in the eel *Anguilla australis* Richardson, by *Aeromonas media* strain A199. *Aquaculture* , **240**, 19–27.
- Lategan, M. J.; Booth, W.; Shimmon, R.; Gibson, L. F., 2006: An inhibitory substance produced by *Aeromonas media* A199, an aquatic probiotic. *Aquaculture* **254**, 115–124.
- Lesser, M. P., 2006: Oxidative Stress in Marine Environments: Biochemistry and Physiological Ecology. *Annual Review of Physiology* **68**, 253–278.
- Lewis, W. M.; Morris, D. P., 1986: "Toxicity of nitrite to fish" a review. *Transactions American Fisheries Society* **115**, 183–195.
- Li, P.; Gatlin, D. M., 2004: Dietary brewers yeast and the prebiotic GroBiotic AE influence growth performance, immune responses and resistance of hybrid striped bass (*Morone chrysops* X *M. saxatilis*) to *Streptococcus iniae* infection. *Aquaculture* **231**, 445–456.
- Li, P.; Burr, G. S.; Gatlin, D. M.; Hume, M. E.; Patnaik, S.; Castille, F. L.; Lawrence, A. L., 2007: Dietary Supplementation of Short-Chain Fructooligosaccharides Influences Gastrointestinal Microbiota Composition and Immunity Characteristics of Pacific White Shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, Cultured in a Recirculating System. *Journal of Nutrition* **137**, 2763–2768.
- Li, J.; Tan, B.; Mai, K., 2009: Dietary probiotic *Bacillus* OJ and isomaltooligosaccharides influence the intestine microbial populations, immune responses and resistance to white spot syndrome virus in shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Aquaculture* **291**, 35–40.
- Liu, C. H.; Chiu, C. S.; Lin, P. L.; Wang, S. W., 2009: Improvement in the growth performance of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, by a protease producing probiotic, *Bacillus subtilis* E20 from natto. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **107**, 1031–1041.
- Liu, K. F.; Chiu, C. H.; Shiu, Y. L.; Cheng, W.; Liu, C. H., 2010: Effects of the probiotic, *Bacillus subtilis* E20, on the survival, development, stress tolerance, and immune status of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* larvae. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **28**, 837–844.
- Longeon, A.; Peduzzi, J.; Barthelemy, M.; Corre, S.; Nicolas, J. L.; Guyot, M., 2004: Purification and Partial Identification of Novel Antimicrobial Protein from Marine Bacterium *Pseudoalteromonas* Species Strain X153. *Marine Biotechnology* **6**, 633–641.
- Macey, B. M.; Coyne, V. E., 2005: Improved growth rate and disease resistance in farmed *Haliotis midae* through probiotic treatment. *Aquaculture* **245**, 249–261.
- Mahious, A. S.; Gatesoupe, F. J.; Hervi, M.; Metailler, R.; Ollevier, F., 2006: Effect of dietary inulin and oligosaccharides as prebiotics for weaning turbot, *Psetta maxima* (Linnaeus, C. 1758). *Aquaculture International* **14**, 219–229.
- Manefield, M.; de Nys, R.; Kumar, N.; Read, R.; Givskov, M.; Steinberg, P.; Kjelleberg, S. A., 1999: Evidence that halogenated furanones from *Delisea pulchra* inhibit acylated homoserine lactone (AHL)-mediated gene expression by displacing the AHL signal from its receptor protein. *Microbiology* **145**, 283–291.
- Marteua, P.; Ramboud, J. C., 1993: Potential of using lactic acid bacteria for therapy and immunomodulation in man. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **12**, 207–220.
- Martin-Visscher, L. A.; Gong, X.; Duszyk, M.; Vederas, J. C., 2009: The three-dimensional structure of carnocyclin A reveals that many circular bacteriocins share a common structural motif. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **284**, 28674–28681.
- McLoughlin, M. F.; Graham, D. H., 2007: Alphavirus infections in salmonids — a review. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **30**, 511–531.
- Merrifield, D. L.; Burnard, D.; Bradley, G.; Davies, S. J.; Baker, R. T. M., 2009: Microbial community diversity associated with the intestinal mucosa of farmed rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture Research* **40**, 1064–1072.
- Merrifield, D. L.; Bradley, G.; Baker, R. T. M.; Dimitroglou, A.; Davies, S. J., 2010a: Probiotic applications for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum) I. Effects on growth performance, feed utilisation, intestinal microbiota and related health criteria. *Aquaculture Nutrition* **16**, 504–510.
- Merrifield, D. L.; Bradley, G.; Baker, R. T. M.; Davies, S. J., 2010b: Probiotic applications for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum) II. Effects on growth performance, feed utilisation, intestinal microbiota and related health criteria post antibiotic treatment. *Aquaculture Nutrition* **16**, 496–503.
- Merrifield, D. L.; Harper, G.; Baker, R. T. M.; Ringø, E.; Davies, S. J., 2010c: Possible influence of probiotic adhesion to intestinal mucosa on the activity and morphology of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) enterocytes. *Aquaculture Research* **41**, 1268–1272.
- Merrifield, D. L.; Bradley, G.; Harper, G. M.; Baker, R. T. M.; Munn, C. B.; Davies, S. J., 2011: Assessment of the effects of vegetative and lyophilised *Pediococcus acidilactici* on growth, feed utilisation, intestinal colonisation and health parameters of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum). *Aquaculture Nutrition* **17**, 73–79.
- Messi, P.; Guerrieri, E.; Bondi, M., 2003: Bacteriocin-like substance (BLS) production in *Aeromonas hydrophila* water isolates. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **220**, 121–125.
- Misra, C. K.; Das, B. K.; Mukherjee, S. C.; Pattnaik, P., 2006: Effect of multiple injections of β -glucan on non-specific immune response and disease resistance in *Labeo rohita* fingerlings. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **20**, 305–319.

- Mohapatra, S.; Chakraborty, T.; Prusty, A. K.; Das, P.; Pani Prasad, K.; Mohanta, K. N., 2012: Use of different microbial probiotics in the diet of rohu, *Labeo rohita* fingerlings: effect on growth, nutrient digestibility and retention, digestive enzyme activities and intestinal micro-flora. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 1–11.
- Moran, A. C., 2004: Functional components of the cell wall of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: applications for yeast glucan and mannan. In: *Proceedings of Alltech's 19th Annual Symposium*. North American Biosciences Center, Alltech Inc.
- Morelli, L.; Zonenschain, D.; Callegari, M. L.; Grossi, E.; Maisano, F.; Fusillo, M., 2003: Assessment of a new synbiotic preparation in healthy volunteers: survival, persistence of probiotic strains and its effect on the indigenous flora. *Nutrition Journal* **2**, 11–6.
- Moriarty, D. J. W., 1998: Control of luminous *Vibrio* species in penaeid aquaculture ponds. *Aquaculture* **164**, 351–358.
- Nayak, S. K., 2010: Probiotics and immunity: a fish perspectives. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **29**, 2–14.
- Nayak, S. K.; Mukherjee, S. C., 2011: Screening of gastrointestinal bacteria of Indian major carps for a candidate probiotic species for aquaculture practices. *Aquaculture Research* **42**, 1034–1041.
- Naylor, R. L.; Goldburg, R. J.; Primavera, J.; Kautsky, N.; Beveridge, M. C. M.; Clay, J.; Folke, C.; Lubchenco, J.; Mooney, H.; Troell, M., 2001: Effects of aquaculture on world fish supplies. *Issues in Ecology* **8**, 1–12.
- Newaj-Fyzul, A.; Adesiyun, A. A.; Mutani, A.; Ramsuhag, A.; Brunt, J.; Austin, B., 2007: *Bacillus subtilis* AB1 controls *Aeromonas* infection in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum). *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **103**, 1699–1706.
- Nguyen, H. A.; Kaneko, J.; Kamio, Y., 2002: Temperature-dependent production of carotovoricin Er and pectin lyase in phytopathogenic *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora* Er. *Bioscience Biotechnology and Biochemistry* **66**, 444–447.
- Nikoskelainen, S.; Ouwehand, A.; Salminen, S.; Bylund, G., 2001: Protection of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from frunculosis by *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*. *Aquaculture* **198**, 229–236.
- Nikoskelainen, S.; Ouwehand, A. C.; Bylund, G.; Salminen, S.; Lilius, E. M., 2003: Immune enhancement in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) by potential probiotic bacteria (*Lactobacillus rhamnosus*). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **15**, 443–452.
- Nilsen, T.; Nes, I. F.; Holo, H., 2003: Enterolysin A, a cell wall-degrading bacteriocin from *Enterococcus faecalis* LMG 2333. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **69**, 2975–2984.
- Nogami, K.; Maeda, M., 1992: Bacteria as biocontrol agents for rearing larvae of the crab *Portunus tribeculatus*. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **49**, 2373–2376.
- Oboh, G.; Akindahunsi, A. A., 2005: Nutritional and toxicological evaluation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fermented cassava flour. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* **18**, 731–738.
- Olafsen, J. A., 1998: Interactions between hosts and bacteria in aquaculture. In: *Proceedings of the US-EC Workshop on Marine microorganisms-Research Issues for Biotechnology*. European Commission, Brussels Belgium, pp. 127–145.
- Olsson, J. C.; Westerdahl, A.; Conway, P.; Kjelleberg, S., 1992: Intestinal colonization potential of turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) and dab (*Limanda limanda*) associated bacteria with inhibitory effects against *Vibrio anguillarum*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **58**, 551–556.
- Oppegård, C.; Rogne, P.; Emanuelsen, L.; Kristiansen, P. E.; Fimland, G.; Nissen-Meyer, J., 2007: The Two-Peptide Class II bacteriocins: structure, production, and mode of action. *Journal of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology* **13**, 210–219.
- Ortuño, J.; Cuesta, A.; Rodríguez, A.; Esteban, M. A.; Meseguer, J., 2002: Oral administration of yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, enhances the cellular innate immune response of gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.). *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* **85**, 41–50.
- Oyetayo, V. O., 2004: Short communication: Phenotypic characterisation and assessment of the inhibitory potential of *Lactobacillus* isolates from different sources. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **3**, 355–357.
- Palikova, M.; Navratil, S.; Krejci, R.; Sterba, F.; Tichy, F.; Kubala, L., 2004: Outcomes of repeated exposure of carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L) to Cyanobacteria extract. *ACTA Veterinaria Brno* **73**, 259–265.
- Pan, X.; Wu, T.; Song, Z.; Tang, H.; Zhao, Z., 2008: Immune responses and enhanced disease resistance in Chinese drum, *Miichthys miiuy* (Basilewsky), after oral administration of live or dead cells of *Clostridium butyricum* CB2. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **31**, 679–686.
- Panigrahi, A.; Azad, I. S., 2007: Microbial intervention for better fish health in aquaculture: the Indian scenario. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **33**, 429–440.
- Panigrahi, A.; Kiron, V.; Satoh, S.; Hirono, I.; Kobayashi, T.; Sugita, H.; Puangkaew, J.; Aoki, T., 2007: Immune modulation and expression of cytokine genes in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* upon probiotic feeding. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* **31**, 372–382.
- Parker, R. B., 1974: Probiotics, the other half of the antibiotic story. *Animal Nutrition Health* **29**, 4–8.
- Peulen, O.; Deloyer, P.; Grandfils, C.; Loret, S.; Dandridge, G., 2000: Intestinal maturation induced by spermine in young animals. *Livestock Production Science* **66**, 109–120.
- Peuranen, S.; Keinanen, M.; Tigerstedt, C.; Vuorinen, P. J., 2003: Effects of temperature on the recovery of

- juvenile grayling (*Thymallus thymallus*) from the exposure to Al +Fe. *Aquatic Toxicology* **65**, 73–84.
- Pham, H. T.; Riu, K. Z.; Jang, K. M.; Cho, S. K.; Cho, M., 2004: Bactericidal activity of glycinecin A, a bacteriocin derived from *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *glycines*, on phytopathogenic *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *vesicatoria* cells. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **70**, 4486–4490.
- Picchiatti, S.; Fausto, A. M.; Randelli, E.; Carnevali, O.; Taddei, A. R.; Buonocore, F.; Scapigliati, G.; Abelli, L., 2009: Early treatment with *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* strain induces an increase in intestinal T-cells and granulocytes and modulates immune-related genes of larval *Dicentrarchus labrax* (L.). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **26**, 368–376.
- Pieters, N.; Brunt, J.; Austin, B.; Lyndon, A. R., 2008: Efficacy of in-feed probiotics against *Aeromonas bestiarum* and *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* skin infections in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum). *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **105**, 723–732.
- Pirarat, N.; Kobayashi, T.; Katagiri, T.; Maita, M.; Endo, M., 2006: Protective effects and mechanisms of a probiotic bacterium *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* against experimental *Edwardsiella tarda* infection in tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* **113**, 339–347.
- Porubcan, R. S., 1991: Reduction of ammonia nitrogen and nitrite in tanks of *Penaeus monodon* using floating biofilters containing proceed diatomaceous earth media pre-inoculated with nitrifying bacteria. Program and Abstracts of the 22nd Annual Conference and Exposition, World Aquaculture Society, pp. 16–20.
- Pybus, V.; Loutit, M. W.; Lamont, I. L.; Tagg, J. R., 1994: Growth inhibition of the salmon pathogen *Vibrio ordalii* by a siderophore produced by *Vibrio anguillarum* strain VL4335. *Journal of Fish Disease* **17**, 311–324.
- Qi, Z.; Zhang, X.; Boon, N.; Bossier, P., 2009: Probiotics in aquaculture of China – current state, problems and prospect. *Aquaculture* **290**, 15–21.
- Quentel, C.; Gatesoupe, F. J.; Lamour, F.; Abiven, A.; Baud, M.; Aubin, J., 2004: Effects of oral administration of probiotics on the resistance of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, against *Yersinia ruckeri*: asymptomatic carriers and humoral immune parameters. In: *6th Symposium on Fish Immunology*, 26–29 May 2004. Åbo/Turku, Finland, pp. 60.
- Rahman, M. H.; Suzuki, S.; Kawai, K., 2001: Formation of viable but non-culturable state (VBNC) of *Aeromonas hydrophila* and its virulence in goldfish, *Carassius auratus*. *Microbiology Research* **156**, 103–106.
- Raida, M. K.; Larsen, J. L.; Nielsen, M. E.; Buchmann, K., 2003: Enhanced resistance of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum) against *Yersinia ruckeri* challenge following oral administration of *Bacillus subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* (Bio plus 2B). *Journal of Fish Disease* **26**, 495–498.
- Rasch, M.; Buch, C.; Austin, B.; Slierendrecht, W. J.; Ekmann, K. S.; Larsen, J. L.; Johansen, C.; Riedel, K.; Eberl, L.; Givskov, M.; Gram, L., 2004: An inhibitor of bacterial quorum sensing reduces mortalities caused by vibriosis in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum). *Systemic and Applied Microbiology* **27**, 350–359.
- Ravi, A. V.; Musthafa, K. S.; Jegathambal, G.; Kathiresan, K.; Pandian, S. K., 2007: Screening and evaluation of probiotics as a biocontrol agent against pathogenic vibrios in marine aquaculture. *Letters to Applied Microbiology* **45**, 219–223.
- Refstie, S.; Bakke-McKellep, A. M.; Penn, M. H.; Sundby, A.; Shearer, K. D.; Krogdahl, A., 2006: Capacity for digestive hydrolysis and amino acid absorption in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed diets with soybean meal or inulin with or without addition of antibiotics. *Aquaculture* **261**, 392–406.
- Rengpipat, S.; Phianphak, W.; Piyatiratitivorakul, S.; Menasveta, P., 1998: Effect of a probiotic bacterium on black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* survival and growth. *Aquaculture* **167**, 301–313.
- Rengpipat, S.; Rukpratanporn, S.; Piyatiratitivorakul, S.; Menasveta, P., 2000: Immunity enhancement in black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) by a probiotic bacterium (*Bacillus* S11). *Aquaculture*, **191**, 271–288.
- Richard, C.; Drider, D.; Elmorjani, K.; Marion, D.; Prevost, H., 2004: Heterologous Expression and Purification of Active Divercin V41, a Class IIa Bacteriocin Encoded by a Synthetic Gene in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **186**, 4276–4284.
- Rico-Mora, R.; Voltolina, D.; Villaescusa-Celaya, J. A., 1998: Biological control of *Vibrio alginolyticus* in *Skeletonema costatum* (Bacillariophyceae) cultures. *Aquaculture Engineering* **19**, 1–6.
- Riley, M. A.; Wertz, J. E., 2002: Bacteriocin diversity: ecological and evolutionary perspectives. *Biochimie* **84**, 357–364.
- Riley, M. A.; Pinou, T.; Wertz, J. E.; Tan, Y.; Valletta, C. M., 2001: Molecular characterization of the klebicin B plasmid of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Plasmid* **45**, 209–221.
- Ringo, E.; Olsen, R. E.; Overli, J. O.; Lovik, F., 1997: Effect of dominance hierarchy formation on aerobic microbiota associated with the epithelial mucosa of subordinate and dominant individuals of Arctic char, *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.). *Aquaculture Research* **28**, 901–904.
- Ringo, E.; Jutfelt, F.; Kanapathippillai, P.; Bakken, Y.; Sundell, K.; Glette, J.; Mayhew, T. M.; Myklebust, R.; Olsen, R. E., 2004: Damaging effect of the fish pathogen *Aeromonas salmonicida* ssp. *salmonicida* on intestinal enterocytes of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *Cell & Tissue Research* **318**, 305–311.

- Ringø, E.; Sperstad, S.; Myklebust, R.; Mayhew, T. M.; Olsen, R. E., 2006: The effect dietary inulin on aerobic bacteria associated with the hindgut of Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus* L.). *Aquaculture Research* **37**, 891–897.
- Ringø, E.; Myklebust, R.; Mayhew, T. M.; Olsen, R. E., 2007: Bacterial translocation and pathogenesis in the digestive tract of larvae and fry. *Aquaculture* **268**, 251–264.
- Ringø, E.; Olsen, R. E.; Gifstad, T. G.; Dalmo, R. A.; Amlund, H.; Hemre, G. I.; Bakke, A. M., 2010: Prebiotics in aquaculture: a review. *Aquaculture Nutrition* **16(2)**, 117–136.
- Riquelme, C.; Hayashida, G.; Araya, R.; Uchida, A.; Satomi, M.; Ishida, Y., 1996: Isolation of a native bacterial strain from the scallop, *Argopecten purpuratus* with inhibitory effects against pathogenic Vibrios. *Journal of Shellfish Research* **15**, 369–374.
- Riquelme, C.; Araya, R.; Escribano, R., 2000: Selective incorporation of bacteria by *Argopecten purpuratus* larvae: implications for the use of probiotics in culturing systems of the Chilean scallop. *Aquaculture* **181**, 25–36.
- Robertson, P. A. W.; O'Dowd, C.; Burrells, C.; Williams, P.; Austin, B., 2000: Use of *Carnobacterium* sp. as a probiotic for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum). *Aquaculture* **185**, 235–243.
- Rodriguez-Estrada, U.; Satoh, S.; Haga, Y.; Fushimi, H.; Sweetman, J., 2009: Effects of single and combined supplementation of *Enterococcus faecalis*, mannan oligosaccharide and polyhydrobutyric acid on growth performance and immune response of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Aquaculture Science* **57**, 609–617.
- Rorvik, K. A.; Salte, R.; Bentsen, H. B.; Thomassen, M., 1991: Effects of dietary iron and n-3 unsaturated fatty acids (omega-3) on health and immunological parameters in farmed salmon. In: *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference of the European Association of Fish Pathologists*. European Association of Fish Pathologists, Budapest, Hungary, p. 86.
- Rurangwa, E.; Delaedt, Y.; Geraylou, Z.; Van De Wiele, T.; Courtin, C. M.; Delcour, J. A.; Ollevier, F., 2008: *Dietary Effect of Arabinoxylan Oligosaccharides on Zootechnical Performance and Hindgut Microbial Fermentation in Siberian Sturgeon and African Catfish*. *Aquaculture Europe*, Krakow, Poland, September 15–18, pp. 569–570.
- Sakai, M., 1999: Current research status of fish immunostimulants. *Aquaculture* **172**, 63–92.
- Sakai, M.; Atsuta, S.; Kobayashi, H., 1995: Efficacies of combined vaccines for *Vibrio anguillarum* and *Streptococcus* spp. *Fisheries Science* **61**, 359–360.
- Sakata, T., 1990: Microflora in the digestive tract of fish and shellfish. In: R. Lesel (ed.), *Microbiology in Poecilotherms*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 171–176.
- Salinas, I.; Cuesta, A.; Angeles Esteban, M.; Meseguer, J., 2005: Dietary administration of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* and *Bacillus subtilis*, single or combined, on gilthead seabream cellular innate immune responses. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **19**, 67–77.
- Salinas, I.; Diaz-Rosales, P.; Cuesta, A., 2006: Effect of heat-inactivated fish and nonfish derived probiotics on the innate immune parameters of a teleost fish (*Sparus auratus* L.). *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* **111**, 279–286.
- Salinas, I.; Abelli, L.; Bertoni, F.; Picchietti, S.; Roque, A.; Furones, D.; Cuesta, A.; Meseguer, J.; Esteban, M. A., 2008: Monospecies and multispecies probiotic formulations produce different systemic and local immunostimulatory effects in the gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **25**, 114–123.
- Salminen, S.; Isolauri, E.; Salminen, E., 1996: Clinical uses of probiotics for stabilizing the gut mucosal barrier: successful strains for future challenges. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **70**, 347–358.
- Sampath, K.; James, R.; Akbar Ali, K. M., 1998: Effects of copper and zinc on blood parameters and prediction of their recovery in *Oreochromis mossambicus* (pisces). *Indian Journal of Fisheries* **45**, 129–139.
- SCAN, 2003: Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Animal Nutrition on the criteria for assessing the safety of microorganisms resistant to antibiotics of human clinical and veterinary importance. European Commission Health and Consumer Protection Directorate-General.
- Schmidt, E. W.; Nelson, J. T.; Rasko, D. A.; Sudek, S.; Eisen, J. A.; Haygood, M. G.; Ravel, J., 2005: Patellamide A and C biosynthesis by a microcin-like pathway in *Prochloron didemni*, the cyanobacterial symbiont of *Lissoclinum patella*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **102**, 7315–7320.
- Sealey, W. M.; Barrows, F. T.; Johansen, K. A.; Overturf, K.; LaPatra, S. E.; Hardy, R. W., 2007: Evaluation of the ability of partially autolyzed yeast and Grobiotic-A to improve disease resistance in rainbow trout. *North American Journal of Aquaculture* **69**, 400–406.
- Shan, S.; Xiao, Z.; Huang, W.; Dou, S., 2008: Effect of photoperiod on growth, mortality and digestive enzymes in miiuy croaker larvae and juveniles. *Aquaculture* **281**, 70–76.
- Sharifuzzaman, S. M.; Austin, B., 2010a: Development of protection in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum) to *Vibrio anguillarum* following use of the probiotic *Kocuria* SM1. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **29**, 212–216.
- Sharifuzzaman, S. M.; Austin, B., 2010b: *Kocuria* SM1 controls vibriosis in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum). *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **108**, 2162–2170.
- Soderhall, K.; Cerenius, L., 1998: Role of the prophenoloxidase-activating system in invertebrate immunity. *Current Opinion in Immunology* **10**, 23–28.

- Son, V. M.; Changa, C. C.; Wu, M. C.; Guu, Y. K.; Chiu, C. H.; Cheng, W., 2009: Dietary administration of the probiotic, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, enhanced the growth, innate immune responses, and disease resistance of the grouper *Epinephelus coioides*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **26**, 691–698.
- Song, Z.; Wu, T.; Cai, L.; Zhang, L.; Zheng, X., 2006: Effects of dietary supplementation with *Clostridium butyricum* on the growth performance and humoral immune response in *Miichthys miiuy*. *Journal of Zhejiang University Science B* **7**, 596–602.
- Spanggaard, B.; Huber, I.; Nielsen, J.; Sick, E. B.; Phipper, C. B.; Martinussen, T.; Slierendrecht, W. J.; Gram, L., 2001: The probiotic potential against vibriosis of the indigenous microflora of rainbow trout. *Environmental Microbiology* **3**, 755–765.
- Staykov, Y., 2004: The influence of Bio-Mos on growth rate and immune status of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). In: *Alltech's Second Annual Aquaculture Meeting*. Dunboyne, Co. Meath, November, 2004. Oral communication.
- Strauch, E.; Kaspar, H.; Schaudinn, C.; Dersch, P.; Madela, K.; Gewinner, C.; Hertwig, S.; Wecke, J.; Appel, B., 2001: Characterization of enterocolitacin, a phage tail-like bacteriocin, and its effect on pathogenic *Yersinia enterocolitica* strains. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **67**, 5634–5642.
- Sugita, H.; Fujie, T.; Sagesaka, T.; Itoi, S., 2009: The effect of *Lactococcus lactis* on the abundance of aeromonads in the rearing water of the goldfish, *Carassius auratus* (Linnaeus). *Aquaculture Research* **41**, 153–156.
- Suralikar, V.; Sahu, N. P., 2001: Effect of feeding probiotic (*Lactobacillus cremoris*) on growth and survival of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* postlarvae. *Journal of Applied Animal Research* **20**, 117–124.
- Swain, S. K.; Mohanty, S. N.; Tripathi, S. D., 1994: Growth and survival of *Catla* spawn fed a dry artificial diet in relation to various stocking densities. In: *Proceedings of National Symposium on Aquacrops*. Central Institute of Fisheries Education, Bombay, p. 55.
- Taoka, Y.; Maeda, H.; Jo, J. Y.; Jeon, M. J.; Bai, S. C.; Lee, W. J.; Yuge, K.; Koshio, S., 2006: Growth, stress tolerance and non-specific immune response of Japanese flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus* to probiotics in a closed recirculating system. *Fisheries Science* **72**, 310–321.
- Tinh, N. T. N.; Linh, N. D.; Wood, T. K.; Dierckens, K.; Sorgeloos, P.; Bossier, P., 2007: Interference with the quorum sensing systems in a *Vibrio harveyi* strain alters the growth rate of gnotobiotically cultured rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis*. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **103**, 194–203.
- Tovar, D.; Zambonino, J.; Cahu, C.; Gatesoupe, F. J.; Vázquez-Juárez, R.; Lésel, R., 2002: Effect of live yeast incorporation in compound diet on digestive enzyme activity in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae. *Aquaculture* **204**, 113–123.
- Tovar-Ramirez, D.; Zambonino-Infante, J. L.; Cahu, C.; Gatesoupe, F. J.; Vázquez-Juárez, R., 2004: Influence of dietary live yeast on European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larval development. *Aquaculture* **234**, 415–427.
- Tseng, D. Y.; Ho, P. L.; Huang, S. Y.; Cheng, S. C.; Shiu, Y. L.; Chiu, C. S.; Liu, C. H., 2009: Enhancement of immunity and disease resistance in the white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, by the probiotic, *Bacillus subtilis* E20. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **26**, 339–344.
- Uma, A., 1995: Influence of probiotics on the growth and survival of penaeid shrimps in nursery phase, M.F.Sc. Dissertation, Fisheries College and Research Centre, Tuticorin, India, pp.73.
- Uma, A.; Abraham, T. J.; Sundararaj, V., 1999: Effect of a probiotic bacterium, *Lactobacillus plantarum* on disease resistance of *Penaeus indicus* larvae. *Indian Journal of Fisheries* **46**, 367–373.
- Van Nuenen, M. H. M. C.; de Lig, R. A. F.; Doornbos, R. P.; van der Woude, J. C. J.; Kuipers, E. J.; Venema, K., 2005: The influence of microbial metabolites on human intestinal epithelial cells and macrophages *in vitro*. *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology* **45**, 183–189.
- Vandenbergh, P., 1993: Lactic acid bacteria, their metabolic products and interference with microbial growth. *FEMS Microbiology Review* **12**, 221–238.
- Varela, J. L.; Ruiz-Jarabo, I.; Vargas-Chacoff, L.; Arijo, S.; Leon-Rubio, J. M.; Garcia-Millan, I.; Martin del Rio, M. P.; Morinigo, M. A.; Mancera, J. M., 2010: Dietary administration of probiotic of probiotic Pdp 11 promotes growth and improves stress tolerance to high stocking density in gilthead seabream *Sparus auratus*. *Aquaculture* **309**, 265–271.
- Vendrell, D.; Balcazar, J. L.; de Blas, I.; Ruiz-Zarzuola, I.; Girones, O.; Muzquiz, J. L., 2008: Protection of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from lactococcosis by probiotic bacteria. *Comparative Immunology, Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* **31**, 337–345.
- Verschuere, L.; Heang, H.; Criel, G.; Dafnis, S.; Sorgeloos, P.; Verstraete, W., 2000a: Protection of *Artemia* against the pathogenic effects of *Vibrio proteolyticus* CW8T2 by selected bacterial strains. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **6**, 1139–1146.
- Verschuere, L.; Rombaut, G.; Sorgeloos, P.; Verstraete, W., 2000b: Probiotic bacteria as biological control agents in aquaculture. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **64**, 655–671.
- Vijayabaskar, P.; Somasundaram, S. T., 2008: Isolation of bacteriocin producing Lactic acid bacteria from fish gut and probiotic activity against common freshwater fish pathogen *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Biotechnology* **7**, 124–128.

- Vijayavel, K.; Balasubramanian, M., 2009: Effect of fenvalerate on oxidative stress biomarkers in the brackish water prawn, *Penaeus monodon*. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* **95**, 113–116.
- Vine, N. G.; Leukes, W. D.; Kaiser, H.; Daya, S.; Baxter, J.; Hecht, T., 2004: Competition for attachment of aquaculture candidate probiotic and pathogenic bacteria on fish intestinal mucus. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **27**, 319–325.
- Vine, N. G.; Leukes, W. D.; Horst, K., 2006: Probiotics in marine larviculture. *FEMS Microbiology Review* **30**, 404–427.
- Wabete, N.; Chim, L.; Lemaire, P.; Massabuau, J. C., 2008: Life on the edge: physiological problems in penaeid prawns *Litopenaeus stylirostris*, living on the low side of their thermopreferendum. *Marine Biology* **154**, 403–412.
- Wache', Y.; Auffray, F.; Gatesoupe, F. J.; Zambonino, J.; Gayet, V.; Labbe', L.; Quentel, C., 2006: Cross effects of the strain of dietary *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and rearing conditions on the onset of intestinal microbiota and digestive enzymes in rainbow trout, *Onchorhynchus mykiss*, fry. *Aquaculture* **258**, 470–478.
- Wang, Y. B.; Tian, Z. Q.; Yao, J. T.; Li, W. F., 2008a: Effect of probiotics, *Enterococcus faecium*, on tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) growth performance and immune response. *Aquaculture* **277**, 203–207.
- Wang, Y. B.; Li, J. R.; Lin, J., 2008b: Probiotics in aquaculture: challenges and outlook. *Aquaculture* **281**, 1–4.
- Wertz, J. E.; Riley, M. A., 2004: Chimeric nature of two plasmids of *Hafnia alvei* encoding the bacteriocins alveicins A and B. *Journal of Bacteriology* **186**, 1598–1605.
- Williams, S. T.; Vickers, J. C., 1986: The ecology of antibiotic production. *Microbial Ecology* **12**, 43–52.
- Willmer, P.; Stone, G.; Johnston, I., 2000: Temperature and its effects. In: Willmer P.; Stone G.; Johnston I. (eds), *Environmental Physiology of Animals*. Blackwell Science Ltd., USA, pp. 192–244.
- Yousefian, M.; Amiri, M. S., 2009: A review of the use of prebiotic in aquaculture for fish and shrimp. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **8**, 7313–7318.
- Zai, A. S.; Ahmad, S.; Rasool, S. A., 2009: Bacteriocin production by indigenous marine catfish associated *Vibrio* spp. *Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* **22**, 162–167.
- Zenteno-Savín, T.; Saldierna, R.; Ahuejote-Sandoval, M., 2006: Superoxide radical production in response to environmental hypoxia in cultured shrimp. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C* **142**, 301–308.
- Zhou, X.; Tian, Z.; Wang, Y.; Li, W., 2009: Effect of treatment with probiotics as water additives on tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) growth performance and immune response. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **36**, 501–509.
- Ziaei-Nejad, S.; Rezaei, M. H.; Takami, G. A.; Lovett, D. L.; Mirvaghefi, A. R.; Shakouri, M., 2006: The effect of *Bacillus* spp. bacteria used as probiotics on digestive enzyme activity, survival and growth in the Indian white shrimp *Fenneropenaeus indicus*. *Aquaculture* **252**, 516–524.